Impact of the 2018 Ambae eruption on the global stratospheric aerosol layer and climate

Corinna Kloss¹, Pasquale Sellitto², Bernard Legras³, Jean-Paul Vernier⁴, Fabrice Jegou⁵, Madineni Venkat Ratnam⁶, Suneel Kumar B⁷, B. Lakshmi Madhavan⁶, and Gwenael Berthet⁸

¹Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environment et de l'Espace (LPC2E), CNRS
²Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques, Université Paris-Est Créteil
³Ecole Normale Superieure
⁴Science Systems and Applications, Inc.
⁵LPC2E / French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS)
⁶National Atmospheric Research Laboratory
⁷Balloon Facility, Tata Institute of Fundemental Research
⁸French National Centre for Scientific Research (CNRS)

November 24, 2022

Abstract

During an extended volcanic unrest starting in 2017, two main moderate stratospheric eruptions occurred at the Ambae volcano (15° S and 167° E), Vanuatu, in April and July 2018. Observations from a geostationary orbit show that the April and July eruptions injected a volcanic plume into the lower stratosphere. While aerosol enhancements from the April eruption have only had an impact on the Southern Hemisphere, the plume from the July eruption was distributed within the lower branch of the Brewer-Dobson circulation to both hemispheres. Satellite, ground-based and in situ observations show that the background aerosol is enhanced throughout the year after the July eruption on a global scale. A volcanic-induced perturbation of the global stratospheric aerosol optical depth up to 0.012 is found, in the ultraviolet/visible spectral range. This perturbation is comparable to that of recent moderate stratospheric eruptions like from Kasatochi, Sarychev and Nabro. Top of the atmosphere radiative forcing values are estimated between -0.45 and -0.6 W/m2 for this event, showing that the Ambae eruption had the strongest climatic impact of the year 2018. Thus, the Ambae eruption in 2018 has to be taken into account when studying the decadal lower stratospheric aerosol budget and in climate studies.

Impact of the 2018 Ambae eruption on the global stratospheric aerosol layer and climate

Corinna Kloss¹, Pasquale Sellitto², Bernard Legras³, Jean-Paul Vernier^{4,5}, Fabrice Jégou¹, M. Venkat Ratnam⁶, B. Suneel Kumar⁷, B. Lakshmi Madhavan⁶, Gwenaël Berthet¹

¹Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace, CNRS/Université d'Orléans, UMR 7328, Orléans, France т 1 $^{\mathrm{st}}$ ole

8	Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques
9	² Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques, UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris-Es
10	Créteil, Université de Paris, Institut Pierre Simon Laplace, Créteil, France
11	³ Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, UMR 8539, CNRS – Ecole-PSL/Sorbonne Université/Eco
12	Polytechnique, Paris, France
13	⁴ Science Systems and Applications, Inc, Hampton, Virginia, USA
14	⁵ NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA
15	⁶ National Atmospheric Research Laboratory, Department of Space, Gadanki-517112, India
16	⁷ National balloon facility, TIFR, Hyderabad, India
17	Key Points:

Key Points:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Two stratospheric eruptions occurred at the Ambae volcano in 2018, in April and 18 July. 19 20
 - Various satellite data reveal a significant impact on the global stratosphere.
- A significant radiative forcing is found for the eruption of July 2018. 21

 $Corresponding \ author: \ Corinna \ Kloss, \ \texttt{corinna.kloss@cnrs-orleans.fr}$

22 Abstract

During an extended volcanic unrest starting in 2017, two main moderate stratospheric 23 eruptions occurred at the Ambae volcano $(15^{\circ}S \text{ and } 167^{\circ}E)$, Vanuatu, in April and July 24 2018. Observations from a geostationary orbit show that the April and July eruptions 25 injected a volcanic plume into the lower stratosphere. While aerosol enhancements from 26 the April eruption have only had an impact on the Southern Hemisphere, the plume from 27 the July eruption was distributed within the lower branch of the Brewer-Dobson circu-28 lation to both hemispheres. Satellite, ground-based and in situ observations show that 29 the background aerosol is enhanced throughout the year after the July eruption on a global 30 scale. A volcanic-induced perturbation of the global stratospheric aerosol optical depth 31 up to 0.012 is found, in the ultraviolet/visible spectral range. This perturbation is com-32 parable to that of recent moderate stratospheric eruptions like from Kasatochi, Sarychev 33 and Nabro. Top of the atmosphere radiative forcing values are estimated between -0.4534 and -0.6 W/m^2 for this event, showing that the Ambae eruption had the strongest cli-35 matic impact of the year 2018. Thus, the Ambae eruption in 2018 has to be taken into 36 account when studying the decadal lower stratospheric aerosol budget and in climate stud-37 ies. 38

³⁹ 1 Introduction

Major volcanic eruptions and the subsequent injection of sulfur compounds into 40 the stratosphere are episodic events and their occurrence is currently unpredictable. Sulphur-41 containing gaseous emissions from volcanoes, in particular sulphur dioxide (SO_2) , are sub-42 sequently converted to secondary sulphate aerosols (e.g. Kremser et al., 2016). These 43 particles have a large lifetime because of their small average size and for the very lim-44 ited wet deposition sink in the stratosphere. Additionally, they are very reflective and 45 therefore have a big potential of cooling the climate system by the scattering of short-46 wave radiation. Depending on the magnitude of an eruption and geographical position 47 of the volcano, the possible increased aerosol load in the stratosphere can have a strong 48 impact on the Earth's climate (e.g. Robock et al., 2007; Kremser et al., 2016). For ex-49 ample, the geographical extent of the impact of a major tropical volcanic eruption is usu-50 ally larger than that of an eruption at higher latitudes. In this case, aerosols formed in 51 the tropical lower stratosphere can be transported within the stratospheric large-scale 52 circulation (the Brewer-Dobson circulation, BDC (Butchart, 2014)) to higher latitudes. 53 Aerosols resulting from a single eruption can be widely distributed around the globe, caus-54 ing a significant 'global cooling' of the Earth's climate. The meridional dispersion is closely 55 related to the phase of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) (Trepte & Hitchman, 1992; 56 Punge et al., 2009). While an easterly shear leads to the confinement of aerosols and a 57 stronger ascent over the equator, the westerly shear reduces the ascent and favors dis-58 persion to mid-latitudes. Even without the occurrence of major (Pinatubo-sized, as de-59 fined in Robock et al. (2007)) eruptions, it is known that during the past two decades 60 the stratospheric aerosol load was still dominated by smaller to medium sized volcanic 61 eruptions (Solomon et al., 2011; Vernier et al., 2011; Ridley et al., 2014). Multiple mod-62 erate volcanic eruptions took place during that period, with stratospheric injection and 63 stratospheric aerosol measured perturbation (Ridley et al., 2014). For example, during 64 the Sarychev eruption (48°N and 153°E on June 12th 2009) 1.2 ± 0.2 Tg of SO₂ were 65 injected into the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS). The most recent ex-66 amples for moderate volcanic eruptions are the eruptions of Raikoke (48°N and 153°E 67 on June 22^{nd} 2019) and Ulawun (5°S and 151°E on June 26^{th} 2019), injecting sulfate 68 material at 17 km (Marder, 2019) and 19.2 km (Allon, 2019), respectively, into the strato-69 sphere. Here, we study the impact of the Ambae (Vanuatu, $15^{\circ}S$ and $167^{\circ}E$) eruptions 70 of April and July 2018, on the global UTLS aerosol load and radiative balance. A spe-71 cial focus is given to the larger eruption of July 2018. To our knowledge, even though 72 the eruptions (especially the one in July) were quite intense, their impact on the atmo-73 sphere and the climate system have not been investigated yet. 74

The paper is structured as follows. Methods and data sets used in the present study are described in Section 2. Recent activities and the major 2018 eruptions at Ambae are described in Section 3. The stratospheric aerosol evolution during the year following the eruptions is analyzed in Section 4, including in situ observations of the plume. The climate impact of this stratospheric aerosol perturbation is estimated and discussed in Section 5. Conclusions are drawn in Section 6.

$\mathbf{2}$ Methods

82

91

2.1 OMPS-LP aerosol extinction satellite data set

The Ozone Mapping Profiler Suite Limb Profiler (OMPS-LP), onboard the Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership satellite, is a limb instrument part of a limb-nadir suite, initially developed for the three-dimensional monitoring of atmospheric ozone (Loughman et al., 2018; Bhartia & Torres, 2019). Cloud-filtered aerosol extinction profile measurements at 675 nm are provided from 2012 to now, in the 0-80 km altitude range, with a vertical resolution of ~1.6 km (Bhartia & Torres, 2019). A global coverage is produced within 3-4 days. Here, we use the data version 1.5 (Chen et al., 2018). Tropopause values are provided by the MERRA-2 forward processing.

2.2 SAGE III/ISS aerosol extinction satellite data set

The Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment on the International Space Station 92 (SAGE III/ISS) is a solar (and lunar) occultation measurement instrument onboard the 93 International Space Station (ISS). We use the aerosol extinction data set version 5.1 at 94 different wavelengths (384, 449, 521, 676, 756, 869, 1020 nm). Data are available from 95 June 2017 onwards, with about 30 measurement profiles per day, between 60° S and 60° N. 96 Aerosol extinction profile values are given on a vertical 0.5 km grid, between 0.5 and 40 97 km altitude with a vertical resolution of ~ 1 km. Along the line of sight between the in-98 strument and the sun, the horizontal resolution is ~ 200 km, which is additionally ex-99 tended by ~ 200 km along the direction of motion of the ISS. We are focusing on alti-100 tudes above the tropopause. The tropopause information is derived from MERRA-2 (Modern-101 Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications, Version 2) reanalysis. For the 102 single profiles used in this study, no cloud filter was applied. For the supplementary ma-103 terial (Fig. S3), a simple cloud filter has been applied as described in Thomason and Vernier 104 (2013).105

106

2.3 Himawari brightness temperature

Himawari-8, developped by the Japanese Space Agency, is a geostationary satellite, stationed at 140°E, to cover East Asia and the Western Pacific region (Da, 2015).
It is equiped with a 16-channels multispectral imager, operating in the visible and infrared spectral regions. The spatial resolution at sub-satellite point is 0.5 to 1 km, for
the visible, and 2 km, for the infrared channels. In this study, we make use of the infrared
brightness temperature (BT) 6-hourly observations (Uesawa, 2009), which is part of the
CSR (Clear Sky Radiance) product.

114 **2.4 CALIOP**

The Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) onboard the CALIPSO satellite is a nadir-viewing active LiDAR sounder, operating since 2006. It measures the elastic backscatter at 532 nm and 1064 nm and the depolarization at 532 nm (Winker et al., 2010). It has a vertical resolution of 60 m below 20.3 km altitude and 180 m in the stratosphere (Winker et al., 2006). For the analysis in this study, a filter was applied, by removing pixels in the profile for which an adjacent pixel is cloudy.

2.5 LiDAR aerosol backscatter observations

An elastic backscatter ground-based LiDAR instrument is operational since 1998 122 at a rural location in Gadanki (13.5°N, 79.2°E) to study the properties of aerosols and 123 cirrus clouds in the UTLS (SunilKumar et al., 2003; Kulkarni et al., 2008). This LiDAR 124 system is a monostatic biaxial system and is optically aligned to altitudes greater than 125 8 km so that low-level clouds and aerosols do not interfer with the observations. Fur-126 thermore, the LiDAR is only operated during cloud-free (or clear sky) conditions. A to-127 tal of 9 operational days were available from the LiDAR observational data set at Gadanki 128 129 during January – February 2019 and are analysed in this study. Possible day-to day variabilities can result from the choice of a reference (calibration) altitude. 130

131

2.6 POPS in situ observations during the BATAL campaign

The Printed Optical Particle Spectrometer (POPS) was operated during the BATAL 132 (The Balloon Measurements of the Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer) campaign in 2019 133 in Hyderabad (17°N,78°E), India. The primary goal of this campaign is the investiga-134 tion of the ATAL (Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer) (Vernier et al., 2018). Here, we use 135 POPS observations from one balloon flight on July 17^{th} 2019. The instrument weighs 136 around 800 g and uses a 405 mm diode laser. POPS delivers aerosol number concentra-137 tion and size distribution measurements in the size range 140-3000 nm (Gao et al., 2016). 138 In this work, we make use of the cumulative concentration (cm^{-3}) for particle sizes from 139 0.15-0.18 μm. 140

141

2.7 Radiative transfer modelling: UVSPEC radiative forcing

The daily-average regional shortwave surface and top of the atmosphere (TOA) di-142 rect radiative impacts are estimated using the UVSPEC (UltraViolet SPECtrum) ra-143 diative transfer model, as implemented within the LibRadtran Mayer and Kylling (2005) 144 package (available at: http://www.libradtran.org/doku.php). Surface and TOA direct 145 and diffuse shortwave spectra are computed in the range 300 to 3000 nm, at 0.1 nm spec-146 tral resolution. The solar flux spectra of Kurucz are used to force the simulations. The 147 atmospheric state (vertical profiles of temperature, pressure, humidity and gas concen-148 tration) is set using the AFGL (Air Force Geophysics Laboratory) climatological stan-149 dards for: 1) a summer mid-latitude atmosphere for simulations representing the aver-150 age southern and northern hemispheric dispersion of the Ambae plume, and 2) a trop-151 ical atmosphere for the simulation representing the average early tropical dispersion fol-152 lowing the initial Ambae stratospheric injection. Molecular absorption is parameterized 153 with the LOWTRAN band model, as adopted from the SBDART code. The SDISORT 154 method (the pseudo-spherical approximation of the discrete ordinate method (DISORT)) 155 is then used to solve the radiative transfer equation. We perform clear-sky simulations. 156 A baseline simulation is carried out, with the mentioned setups and a background at-157 mosphere without volcanic aerosols. Then, we add the measured volcanic aerosols spec-158 tral extinction coefficient profiles from SAGE III/ISS. The altitude ranges affected by 159 the Ambae plume have been identified using the vertical profiles of the Angström expo-160 nent, as described in Sect. 5. In a similar manner as in Sellitto et al. (2016) and Kloss 161 et al. (2019), for both the baseline and volcanic plumes configurations, we multiple-run 162 the radiative transfer simulations at different solar zenith angles (SZA). Then, the daily-163 average shortwave TOA radiative forcing for the volcanic aerosol layer is calculated as 164 the SZA-averaged upward diffuse irradiance for a baseline simulation without the inves-165 tigated aerosols minus that with aerosols, integrated over the whole shortwave spectral 166 167 range. Analogously, the shortwave surface radiative forcing is calculated as the SZA-averaged downward global (direct plus diffuse) irradiance with aerosols minus the baseline, inte-168 grated over the whole spectral range. 169

The Ambae volcanic unrest in 2017-2018 and the eruptions of April and July 2018

The Ambae or Aoba volcanic island $(167^{\circ}\text{E and } 15^{\circ}\text{S})$ is part of the Vanuatu archipelago. 172 and located in its central sector. The explosive volcanic activity of Ambae results from 173 the subduction of the Australian plate underneath the Pacific plate (Daniel et al., 1989). 174 Its summit volcano reaches an altitude of almost 1500 m above sea level and includes 175 3 acid crater lakes. The volcano poses a significant volcanic hazard to the 11000 local 176 inhabitants of the island (Bani et al., 2009). After an estimated 350-year volcanic qui-177 178 escence (except for fumarolic and other hydrogeologic manifestation of its internal activity), a low-level activity resumed during the 1990s, followed by a strong eruptive phase 179 starting from September 2017 (Moussallam et al., 2019). In 2018, a first paroxysm oc-180 curred on April 5^{th} around 14 UTC, when a SO₂-rich eruption occurred with an esti-181 mated sulfur load of 0.10-0.15 Tg of SO_2 (Carn, 2018). Himawari observations show a 182 plume with a core brightness temperature (BT) of 193 K, for this event (Fig. S1a). Co-183 located temperature profiles from ERA5 reanalyses (Fig. S1b) indicate that this tem-184 perature corresponds to an altitude of about 17 km, which is taken here as a lower bound 185 of the injection altitude. The actual injection altitude might be higher as the volcanic 186 plume top is often colder than the ambient air (Woods & Self, 1992). In April, this was 187 still the largest stratospheric volcanic sulfur emission since 2015. In July, however, the 188 activity increased and entered its peak phase (Moussallam et al., 2019). A peak in sul-189 fur emissions was observed on July 27th (Marder, 2019). During this peak eruptive phase, 190 about 0.4 Tg of SO_2 was emitted into the UTLS (Marder, 2019). It hit the news as the 191 largest eruption, in terms of atmospheric sulfur injection during 2018, emitting three times 192 more SO_2 than all eruptions combined in 2017. The eruptive phase, even if declining in 193 magnitude, lasted until September 2018. The maximum VEI (Volcanic Explosivity In-194 dex) was 3 during the peak activity (GVP, 2018). We set our focus on the July erup-195 tion, which is the strongest in terms of emission burden and injection altitude for the 196 2018 active Ambae eruption phase. In Fig. 1 we show Himawari hourly BT in the 10.4197 μ m window around the Ambae volcano location on July 27th, 2018. The cold plume is 198 apparent starting from 01:00, developing in the following hours with a fairly clear sky 199 environment and dispersing eastwards due to the dominant UTLS westerly winds un-200 til it is unrecognizable as it merges with clouds (Fig. 1a). Fig. 1a likely shows the ini-201 tial ash injection and/or condensed volcanic water clouds in this phase. In Fig. 1b, an 202 enlarged view of the initial plume at 02:00 of July 27^{th} is given, showing that the low-203 est BT at the core of the plume is around 205 K. Co-located ERA5 temperature pro-204 files show that this temperature is found around the tropopause and lower-stratosphere, 205 either at 14 or 18 km altitude. We thus conclude that this injection was at least partly 206 stratospheric. Observations of SO₂ plume altitude with IASI (Infrared Atmospheric Sound-207 ing Interferometer) confirm a plume injection above 15 km, for this event (Aeris, 2018). 208 Unfortunately, there were no conveniently located orbits of CALIPSO that day. 209

210

4 Ambae aerosol plume dispersion in the global lower stratosphere

To study the horizontal, global distribution of the enhanced aerosol layer in the lower stratosphere, an overview of OMPS-LP aerosol extinction observations, over the course of one year after the main eruption in July 2018, is shown in Fig. 2. For the first sulfur rich eruption from Ambae on April 5^{th} 2018, we see a residual aerosol extinction enhancement in the lower stratosphere (up to 18 to 19 km altitude) 30° in longitude further west of the Ambae location (Fig. 2a). The volcanic plume was likely transported westwards at around 17-18 km altitude.

For the more intense Ambae eruption on July 27^{th} , a first aerosol extinction enhancement at 19-20 km altitude (~1 km higher than the previous Ambae eruption) is observed around 10° further east at latitudes consistent with the Ambae location (15°S) in the end of July (Fig. 2b). One month later the plume was distributed in both direc-



Figure 1. (a) Himawari infrared brightness temperature (BT) hourly observations around Ambae volcano location (black triangle in the upper-left panel) on July 27th, 2018, from 00:00 (upper-left panel) to 14:00 LT (lower-right panel). All times are indicated in local time, LT. (b) Zoomed-in BT, with enhanced color scale, around Ambae for July 27th, 02:00. (c) ERA5 temperature profile at the location of the minimum BT of Fig. 1b (blue line) and a reference vertical line (yellow line) for 205 K.

tions east and west (Fig. 2c). With the dominating eastward transport, the plume prop-222 agated fast over the Pacific. The westward propagation over the Indian Ocean has been 223 quite slow and the plume has stayed a long time over New Guinea. Within two months 224 (by the end of September, Fig. 2d), the tropics in the SH, but also a part of the NH trop-225 ics, are filled with a dense enhanced aerosol layer. Fig. 3 shows CALIOP vertical pro-226 file observations and the latitudinal distribution of aerosols, at this stage of the disper-227 sion of the plume (in early September). A dense, vertical localized, aerosol layer between 228 about 17 and 21 km is observed, between around 15°S and 5°N. Vertical and horizon-229 tal CALIOP distributions of the Ambae volcanic aerosol plume at the end of Septem-230 ber are shown in Fig. S2. At this time, most of the global tropics, especially in the SH, 231 are affected by this UTLS plume. At these altitudes, a typical expected time scale of sul-232 phates formation from SO_2 emissions is some weeks to a few months (e.g. Stevenson et 233 al., 2003), which is consistent with OMPS-LP observations. From there, the newly formed 234 aerosol layer is slowly distributed to higher latitudes, in both hemispheres, within the 235 shallow branches of the BDC (Nov 2018-Feb 2019, Fig. 2e-g). Even though the eruption 236 occurred in the SH, by February 2019 (Fig. 2g) the largest part of the enhanced aerosol 237 signature at 19-20 km altitude is observed in the NH. This can be linked to the occur-238 ring phase of the QBO and the seasonal cycle. During summer 2018 the QBO was in its 239 easterly phase in the lower stratosphere Newman et al. (2019). According to Trepte and 240 Hitchman (1992) when the easterly shear is present, confinement within the tropical band 241 is favored with respect to the dispersion to the mid-latitudes. Nevertheless, there is a 242 seasonal displacement to the winter hemisphere during solstitial season (Punge et al., 243 2009) which on the contrary favors dispersion. Hence, the mid-latitude dispersion oc-244 curs mostly in the NH. 245

Six months after the eruption (Dec 2018, in Fig. 2f), when the tropical UTLS is 246 filled with volcanic aerosol from Ambae, aerosol extinction values are in average increased 247 by 80% at 19-20 km altitude compared to the conditions prior to the eruption. In July 248 2019 (one year after the Ambae eruption, Fig. 2i) the mean aerosol extinction at 19-20 249 km is still enhanced by around 50%, however it cannot be excluded that other volcanic 250 eruptions (e.g. Raikoke and Ulawun) and wildfires can have contributed at that point 251 to the background aerosol. The enhanced aerosol extinction areas above south Amer-252 ica (at 0-10°S and 50-130°W) in Fig. 2i might be linked to the Ulawun eruption, which 253 occurred at 5° S and 151° E on June 27^{th} 2019 and was then (as the Ambae plume) trans-254 ported to the East. 255

In-situ POPS aerosol concentration and LiDAR backscatter measurements coupled 256 with the mean OMPS aerosol extinction data for the red area in Fig. 2 confirm the tem-257 poral evolution of the Ambae plume and add vertical information for the main event of 258 July 2018 (Fig. 4). LiDAR observations (Fig. 4a) show an increase in the aerosol backscat-259 ter signal at altitudes from 18 to 22 km for all available profiles in January and Febru-260 ary 2019. Fig. 4b shows enhanced aerosol concentration values in mid-July 2019 from 261 balloon-borne in situ measurements on altitudes between ~ 16 and 24 km altitude. The 262 POPS measurements are representative for particle sizes from 0.15 to 0.18 μ m. These 263 aerosol enhancements are consistent with co-localized observed OMPS vertical profiles 264 (Fig. 4c). This agreement helps tracing back the stratospheric aerosol enhancements in 265 POPS and LiDAR measurements to the Ambae eruption in July 2018. Vertical-localized 266 enhancements in Fig. 4b, below 20 km altitude can point to the presence of enhanced 267 aerosol in the Asian monsoon anticyclone (i.e. the ATAL: Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer, 268 Fadnavis et al. (2013), Vernier et al. (2015)). Based on OMPS observations in Fig. 4c, 269 an enhanced aerosol layer reaches the red area already in October 2018 in the lower strato-270 sphere at altitudes of around 18 km. The main bulk of the enhanced aerosol layer ar-271 rives in December 2018 at around 20 ± 2 km. In October and November 2018, the aerosol layer reaches the respective area on different altitudes, equivalent to an uplifting feature 273 of $\sim 0.6 \text{ mm/sec}$ (3 km within 2 months). The velocity calculation is based on aerosol 274 extinction values between 4 and 6 10^{-3} km⁻¹. This is in good agreement with the trop-275 ical upwelling velocity as analyzed in Abalos et al. (2015) and is very similar to what has 276



Figure 2. OMPS Aerosol extinction distribution between 19 and 20 km (18-19 for a) altitude from summer 2018 to summer 2019 at 675 nm. Extinction values are averaged over the respective time frames. The red box indicates the position of the BATAL campaign 2019 (see BATAL observations in Fig. 4a).



Figure 3. CALIOP mean total backscatter profiles and a function of the latitude, in the extended Indian Ocean (longitude interval: $0-150^{\circ}$ E), during early September (September 1^{st} to 15^{th}). This figure was obtained by averaging the level 2 CALIOP aerosol profile product over 63 night orbits crossing the domain during the time interval.



Figure 4. (a) Effective backscatter ratio LiDAR measurements in January and February 2019 at Gedanki (13.5°N and 79.2°E).(b) In situ POPS aerosol concentration measurements at 17.5°N and 78.2°E, observed during the BATAL campaign in 18/07/2019 for particle sizes from 0.15 to 0.18μ m. (c) OMPS aerosol extinction measurements (at 675 nm) averaged over 17-21°N,76-82°E (location indicated with a small red area in Fig. 2) with 5-days averages. The point in time of the in situ profiles from (a) and (b) are indicated with the black dotted lines. White plus signs represent the mean tropopause altitude for the averaged profiles.

been observed for aged forest fire aerosols for a similar area (0.64 mm/sec in Kloss et al. (2019)). No diabatic, radiative-based self-lifting of the plume is expected for this event,
due to the very likely non-absorbing aerosols in the plume (Ditas et al., 2018). Between
December and June, the aerosol layer remains on the same altitude range. Similar conditions are observed on the same latitudes around the globe. The April eruption on Ambae is not expected to play a significant role for the enhancements observed in Fig. 4c.

Figure 5 shows the zonal mean distribution as a function of latitude (60° S to 60° N. 283 at 26-32°W) in mid-February 2019. The longitude range is chosen to exclude enhance-284 ment of tropospheric aerosols due to regional sources. At this time, 5 months after the 285 eruption, the tropics are filled with an enhanced aerosol signal at 19-20 km altitude (Fig. 286 2g) and the aerosol plume is already globally, largely distributed and dispersed. Enhanced 287 aerosol air masses already start descending in the extra-tropics at latitudes > 40° . The 288 observed transport of the Ambae aerosols towards higher latitudes and descent nicely 289 follow the mid-latitude descending lower branch of the BDC in the winter hemisphere. 290 Enhanced aerosol extinction values are still observed above around $15^{\circ}S$ ($\pm 10^{\circ}$), the lat-291 itude band of injection at around 21 km (± 2 km) altitude. This shows that while the 292 plume has already efficiently been transported towards the north within the BDC, by 293 February large air masses have still remained at injection latitudes. The observed de-294 scent within the lower branch of the BDC of 10 km within 3 months (1.3 mm/s) matches 295 well the values given in Abalos et al. (2015). Fig. 5 shows a more substantial distribu-296 tion towards the NH for the chosen time, suggesting a more efficient transport towards 297 the north (as also suggested in Fig. 2). 298

Figure 6 presents the time series of the mean aerosol extinction at two altitude lev-299 els respective to the tropopause altitude (1 to 2 km and 3 to 4 km above the tropopause)300 for four latitude bands (representative for the tropics, the mid-latitudes in the SH and 301 NH and northern high latitudes), from April 2017 to August 2019. The extended time 302 interval prior to the Ambae eruptions displays the 'background' conditions. A non-volcanic 303 event, the wildfires in British Columbia in mid-August 2017 that induced strong pyro-304 convection, shows up as a sudden smoke particle enhancement in the lower stratosphere 305 (Khaykin et al., 2018). A distinguished transport pathway of this fire plume to the trop-306 ical lower stratosphere via the circulation of the Asian monsoon anticyclone is demon-307



Figure 5. OMPS aerosol extinction data (at 675 nm) averaged for each 1° latitude bin between Feb 10-15th at 26-32°W.

strated in Kloss et al. (2019). Due to the large area averaged in Fig. 6, the impact of
those smoke particles on the tropical lower stratosphere is hardly identified here. However, Fig. 6 suggests that the lower stratosphere at 30-90°N was still impacted by the
2017 Canadian fires in the end of June 2018, about two months longer than suggested
by Yu et al. (2019).

From the end of April to the end of June 2018, Fig. 6 shows enhanced aerosol ex-313 tinction values in the mid-latitudes in the SH (30-50°S, blue line), that we attribute to 314 the first intense Ambae eruption of April 2018. The increase in aerosol extinction is vis-315 ible within both chosen altitude ranges (1-2 and 3-4 km above the tropopause, blue line 316 in Fig. 6). Furthermore, a slightly enhancement of the background aerosol in the trop-317 ics at 1-2 km above the tropopause is visible (red line, April-July 2018 in Fig. 6b). The 318 peak in aerosol extinction values in the tropics and SH, starting end of July 2018 at both 319 altitude ranges shown in Fig. 6, is due to the stronger July eruption at Ambae. The aerosol 320 enhancement reaches a maximum in the beginning of October 2018 with almost four times 321 higher mixing ratios than prior to the main eruptive phase of Ambae. In the tropics and 322 SH mean mixing ratios decrease and are back to 'normal' (i.e. prior to Ambae 2018 erup-323 tions) 1-2 km above the tropopause, within 9 months (by March 2019). At higher alti-324 tudes (3-4 km above the tropopause in Fig. 6a) the decrease is lower and especially in 325 the tropics, aerosol extinction values still double 'background conditions' in June 2019. 326 The mean mixing ratios at northern higher latitudes increase and remain enhanced for 327 more than 11 months, until July 2019 (1-2 km above the tropopause, Fig. 6b). This can 328 be explained by the transport of plume air masses from the lower stratosphere in the trop-329 ics towards the poles within the BDC. While the July eruption shows a global impact 330 on the lower stratosphere (i.e. increasing signatures in the tropics, SH and NH), only the 331 SH seems to be impacted for the April eruption. Two factors play a role in explaining 332 why the second eruption in July 2018 shows a globally bigger influence than the erup-333 tion in April 2018: 1^{st} the sulfur emissions of the July eruption is by a factor of ~ 4 larger 334 and 2^{nd} the injection altitude in April was lower (by at least 1 km, this is also confirmed 335 by Fig. 6) and therefore the chances of a long-range transport within the BDC are lower. 336

The enhanced aerosol extinction values in the NH have not yet decreased back to 337 'prior'-Ambae conditions, when two new volcanic eruptions occurred at the right end of 338 the time interval displayed in Fig. 6. The increase in aerosol extinction values in the trop-339 ics and, in particular the SH, 1-2 km above the troppopulse is associated with the Ulawun 340 eruption (Papua New Guinea, June 2019). The distinct enhancement in the NH is as-341 sociated with the strong Raikoke eruption (Russia, June 2019). The direct and fast in-342 jection of ashes followed by a decay is different from the Ambae case, for which the slow 343 increase from end of July to the beginning of October 2018 (as well as from the begin-344 ning of April to the beginning of May 2018, for the April eruption) rather points to the 345



Figure 6. Mean aerosol extinction values 3-4 km and 1-2 km above the troppause in the tropics (20° S- 20° N: blue), in the mid-latitudes ($30-50^{\circ}$ S and $30-50^{\circ}$ N) and in the North ($50-90^{\circ}$ N).

in situ production of secondary aerosol. A direct injection of ash particles would result
in a sudden aerosol increase, as it is observed for the Raikoke eruption. At its latitude
(48°N), the tropopause is low and a direct injection of ash particles into the UTLS is very
likely to happen, even from a moderate volcanic eruption. Other primary aerosol injections, like fire aerosols from the Canadian wildfire in summer 2017, produce a similar steep
temporal signature followed by a decay (also visible in Fig. 6)

5 Optical properties of the volcanic plume and the global impact on the radiative balance

The Ambae plume evolution has also been observed with SAGE III/ISS. This in-354 strument, though providing sparser observations with respect to OMPS-LS due to its 355 solar occultation geometry, has a better signal-to-noise ratio. In addition, spectrally-resolved 356 observations are provided, i.e. at 7 different wavelengths between 380 and 1020 nm. This 357 allows a further characterization of the plume's optical properties. The spatial disper-358 sion of the plume (Fig. S3a), and its vertical (Fig. S3b) and latitudinal evolution (Fig. 359 S3c) are very similar to OMPS-LP observations discussed in Sect. 4. Based on this agree-360 ment, we use SAGE III/ISS data to study the spectral dependency of the plume's ex-361 tinction. Fig. 7a shows the aerosol optical depth (AOD) for the averaged plume obser-362 vations from SAGE III/ISS in three latitude bands: the tropics (20°S-20°N), the NH (50-363 90° N) and the SH (30-60°S), as also chosen in Fig. 6. The latitude band in the NH 50-364 60°N, rather than 50-90°N is due to the fact that SAGE III/ISS does not perform mea-365 surements poleward of 60° . Different time intervals are chosen based on the picture drawn 366

by OMPS-LP observations of Fig. 6, to represent the plume dispersion and the charac-367 teristic perturbation of the Ambae plume in the three latitude bands: from September 368 28^{th} 2018 to October 19^{th} 2018 in the tropics, from March 1^{st} 2019 to April 15^{th} 2019 369 in the NH and from September 9^{th} 2018 to November 4^{th} 2018 in the SH. The plume 370 has been identified, in the average SAGE III/ISS vertical profiles, using a criterion based 371 on the vertical variability of the computed Angström exponent (AE), using the aerosol 372 extinction values at 449 and 869 nm. The AE is an optical proxy for average size par-373 ticles; bigger AEs point to the presence of smaller particles and vice-versa (van de Hulst, 374 1981). Large values of the AE (e.g. larger than 1.5) and aerosol extinction can be linked 375 to small sulphate aerosol (e.g. Sellitto et al., 2016, 2017). Altitudes impacted by the vol-376 canic plume are chosen where vertically-isolated regions with larger aerosol extinction 377 (linked to an aerosol perturbation from the background conditions) and large AE (linked 378 to smaller particles than background conditions) are simultaneously found. For the three 379 averaged profiles, in the tropics, NH and SH, abrupt variations of the AE (larger values, 380 generally >1.5, than at lower or higher altitudes) are found (Fig. 8), which are co-localized 381 with unusual peaks in the aerosol extinction profile. This is a strong indication that these 382 altitudes are linked to the Ambae plume. In the present case, aerosol extinction pertur-383 bations, possibly linked to small sulphate aerosols, result from the conversion of SO_2 emis-384 sions of Ambae. Based on this, we find the vertical regions affected by the Ambae plume: 385 from 16.5 to 21.5 km in the tropics, from 13 to 21 km in the NH and from 12.5 to 20 km 386 in the SH. The averaged AE at these altitudes are 1.7, 1.8 and 2, respectively. During 387 the dispersion, from the tropics to the SH and NH, the AE value increases, indicating 388 further formation of small sulphate particles with time. From this definition of the latitudinal/vertical-389 dependent perturbation of the Ambae plume, the plume-isolated average AOD at dif-390 ferent wavelengths are derived for a selection of wavelengths (Fig. 7a). Typical AOD val-391 ues of 0.008 to 0.012, in the near-infrared, and 0.006 to 0.008, in the visible, are found, 392 which points to a sensible perturbation of the lower stratospheric aerosol extinction. This 393 AOD perturbation is comparable, even if slightly smaller, to that of the recordbreaking 394 Canadian wildfire of 2017 (Kloss et al., 2019). Larger AOD values are found in the SH 395 at later time frames, which point to a progressive build-up of a secondary aerosol plume 396 from SO_2 . The volcanic AOD perturbation in the UTLS from Ambae is comparable, al-397 beit slightly smaller, to other previous, recent moderate stratospheric volcanic eruptions, 398 like the ones from Kasatochi, Sarychev and Nabro. The peak AOD perturbation at 532 399 nm of these three eruptions has been estimated at ~ 0.012 , 0.012 and 0.09 at the global 400 scale, respectively (Andersson et al., 2015). It has to be noted that none of these three 401 eruptions had a substantial impact on the SH UTLS aerosol distribution (Andersson et 402 al., 2015). Ambae eruption is a peculiar event, from this point of view. 403

Furthermore, we calculated the shortwave radiative forcing (RF) of the Ambae UTLS 404 plume using the UVSPEC radiative transfer model (the setup of the model is described 405 in Sect. 2.7). As input parameters for the model, the SAGE III/ISS latitudinally-averaged 406 and Ambae-attributed aerosol extinction profiles are used. Some assumptions are needed, 407 regarding the optical properties of the plume. Based on the above discussion, we assume 408 that the plume is dominated by strongly reflective and small sulphate particles. Hence, 409 typical values of the single scattering albedo (SSA, 0.99) and the asymmetry parame-410 ter (g, 0.5) for these particles are chosen (Sellitto & Briole, 2015). As these optical pa-411 rameters are not measured, we perturbed our RF calculations with smaller SSA (down 412 to 0.98) and larger g (up to 0.7). These RF estimations are shown in Fig. 7b, for three 413 latitude bands (30-50°S, 20°S-20°N and 50-60°N). The surface and TOA RF are very 414 similar, which is typical for highly-reflective particles. Correspondingly, a very small amount 415 of energy is released to the atmosphere by the interaction of solar radiation and the plume, 416 as it is largely non-absorbing. However, globally relatively large negative TOA RF val-417 ues are observed, with values spanning from about -0.45 to -0.60 W/m². This RF is com-418 parable to the ones from Kasatochi, Sarychev and Nabro (e.g. Ridley et al., 2014; An-419 dersson et al., 2015). For these three recent eruptions, RFs between -0.40 and -0.50 W/m² 420



Figure 7. (a) Averaged plume Optical Depth (AOD) for the average SAGE III/ISS observations in the tropics $(20^{\circ}\text{S}-20^{\circ}\text{N}: 28/09/2018-19/10/2018)$, in the Southern Hemisphere $(30-50^{\circ}\text{S}: 09/09/2018-04/11/2018)$ and in the Northern Hemisphere $(50-90^{\circ}\text{N}: 01/03/2019-15/04/2019)$. Time frames are chosen according to the increased aerosol extinction values as seen in Fig 6. (b) Daily average radiative forcing (W/m^2) for the surface (SURF) and Top of the atmosphere (TOA) for SAGE III/ISS mean aerosol extinction profiles as described in Fig. 6.

are found. Bigger values are found for Ambae when dispersing in the SH during austral
 spring and summer.

423 6 Conclusions

The volcanic events of Ambae in April and July 2018 injected substantial amounts 424 of SO_2 into the tropical UTLS, where secondary aerosol particles were formed. The erup-425 tion of July 2018 produced a noticeable perturbation in the UTLS aerosol distribution 426 on a global scale. With a dispersion in the lower stratosphere to the NH and SH within 427 the lower branch of the BDC, it had a substantial impact on the global stratospheric aerosol 428 extinction. It has previously been suggested that the Ambae eruption 2018 has had a 429 very limited impact on the global climate (Marder, 2019). However, we have found a TOA 430 radiative forcing of the same magnitude than previous widely studied moderate volcanic 431 eruptions (e.g. Sarychev, Nabro). In addition, the Ambae aerosols were distributed into 432 both hemispheres and persisted for several months in the global stratosphere with a sig-433 nificant radiative forcing. The impact of Ambae eruption on the stratospheric aerosol 434 optical depth and radiative balance in the SH is peculiar. We conclude that the Ambae 435 eruption should be considered in future analyses of the integrated climatic impact of mod-436 erate stratospheric volcanic perturbations. 437



Figure 8. Averaged vertical profiles of SAGE III/ISS aerosol extinction at 384 (purple line), 521 (green line) and 869 nm (red line), and Angström exponent (blue line), for the tropics (a), NH (b) and SH (c). The profiles are average over Ambae-plume-impacted periods defined in the text.

438 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of Agence Nationale de La Recherche under grant
ANR-17-CE01-0015 (TTL-Xing). Corinna Kloss was funded by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 409585735. The providers of the
LibRadtran are gratefully acknowledged. Furthermore, the authors acknowledge the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the SAGE III/ISS and OMPS

- teams, as well as the BATAL campaign coordinators.
- Data availability: The SAGE III/ISS aerosol extinction data set (version 5.1) is available at https://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov, the OMPS aerosol extinction data set (version 1.5)
 at https://daac.gsfc.nasa.gov/. Himawari and CALIOP data are provided by AERIS/ICARE
- data centre (https://en.aeris-data.fr/direct-access-icare/), the ERA5 data are available
- from Copernicus Climate Change Service (https://climate.copernicus.eu/climate-reanalysis).
- ⁴⁵⁰ POPS and LiDAR measurements archiving on AERIS/ICARE data center is ongoing.

451 References

Abalos, M., Legras, B., Ploeger, F., & Randel, W. J. (2015).Evaluating the 452 advective Brewer-Dobson circulation in three reanalyses for the period 453 J Geophys Res, 120(15), 7534-7554.1979 - 2012.Retrieved from https:// 454 agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015JD023182 doi: 455 10.1002/2015JD023182 456 Aeris. (2018). SO2 total column from IASI (Level 2). Retrieved 2019-12-14, from 457 https://iasi.aeris-data.fr/so2/ 458 Allon, C. (2019). Another High-Level Eruption Observed at Ulawun Volcano — Ash 459 Column Rising to a Colossal 63,000 feet (19.2 km). Retrieved 2019-12-08, from 460 https://electroverse.net/another-high-level-eruption-at-ulawun 461 -volcano-ash-column-rising-to-63000-feet-19-2-km/ 462 Andersson, S., Martinsson, S., Vernier, J., Friberg, J., Brenninkmeijer, C., Her-463 mann, M., ... Zahn, A. (2015).Significant radiative impact of volcanic 464 aerosol in the lowermost stratosphere. Nat Commun, 7692(6), 1-8. doi: 465 doi:10.1038/ncomms8692 466 Bani, P., Join, J.-L., Cronin, S., Lardy, M., & Rouet, E. G. (2009).Characteris-467 tics of the summit lakes of Ambae volcano and their potential for generating 468 lahars. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences, European Geosciences 469 Union, 120(4), 1471-1478. doi: 0.5194/nhess-9-1471-2009 470 Bhartia, P. K., & Torres, O. O. (2019). OMPS-NPP L2 LP Aerosol Extinction Ver-471 tical Profile swath daily 3slit V1.5. doi: 10.5067/GZJJYA7L0YW2 472 Butchart, N. (2014). The Brewer-Dobson circulation. Reviews of Geophysics, 52(2), 473 474 157 - 184.Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/ abs/10.1002/2013RG000448 doi: 10.1002/2013RG000448 475 Carn, S. (2018).Retrieved 2019-12-12, from https://twitter.com/simoncarn/ 476 status/982272241546047494 477 Chen, Z., Bhartia, P. K., Loughman, R., Colarco, P., & DeLand, M. (2018).Im-478 provement of stratospheric aerosol extinction retrieval from OMPS/LP using 479 a new aerosol model. Atmos Meas Tech Discussions, 2018, 1–32. Retrieved 480 from https://www.atmos-meas-tech-discuss.net/amt-2018-221/ doi: 481 10.5194/amt-2018-221 482 Da, C. (2015).Preliminary assessment of the Advanced Himawari Imager (AHI) 483 measurement onboard Himawari-8 geostationary satellite. Remote Sens-484 ing Letters, 6(8), 637-646. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1080/ 485 2150704X.2015.1066522 doi: 10.1080/2150704X.2015.1066522 486 Daniel, J., Gerard, M., Mauffret, A., Boulanger, D., Cantin, B., Collot, J.-Y., ... 487 Tissot, J.-D. (1989). Déformation compres-sive d'un bassin intra-arc dans un 488 contexte de collision ride/arc : le bassin d'Aoba, arc des Nouvelles-Hébrides. 489 CR Acad Sci II, 308, 239-245. 490

491	Ditas, J., Ma, N., Zhang, Y., Assmann, D., Neumaier, M., Riede, H., Cheng,
492	Y. (2018). Strong impact of wildfires on the abundance and aging of black
493	carbon in the lowermost stratosphere. Proceedings of the National Academy of
494	Sciences, 115(50), E11595-E11603. Retrieved from https://www.pnas.org/
495	content/115/50/E11595 doi: 10.1073/pnas.1806868115
496	Fadnavis, S., Semeniuk, K., Pozzoli, L., Schultz, M. G., Ghude, S. D., Das,
497	S., & Kakatkar, R. (2013). Transport of aerosols into the UTLS and
498	their impact on the Asian monsoon region as seen in a global model sim-
499	ulation. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(17), 8771–8786. Re-
500	trieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/8//1/2013/ doi:
501	10.5194/acp-13-8771-2013
502	Gao, R. S., Telg, H., McLaughlin, R. J., Ciciora, S. J., Watts, L. A., Richardson,
503	M. S., Faney, D. W. (2016). A light-weight, high-sensitivity parti-
504	Technology 50(1) 82 00 Petricy of from https://doi.org/10.1080/
505	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
506	$(VD = (2012) = Ambag}$ Detrieved 2010 12 14 from https://webeene.si.edu/
507	GVP. (2018). Amode. Retrieved 2019-12-14, from https://volcano.sl.edu/
508	Khaukin S. M. Codin Bookmann S. Haushagarna A. Palan I. Bayatta F.
509	Knaykin, S. M., Godin-Deekinann, S., Hauchecorne, A., Pelon, J., Ravella, F.,
510	& Recknut, P. (2018). Stratospheric Shoke with Unprecedentedly High Backgeatter Observed by Liders Above Southern France. Combusied Records
511	Lettere $\sqrt{5(2)}$ 1620 1646 Detrieved from https://orupubs.collipsicul.
512	μ_{1} wiley com/doi/abs/10 1002/2017CL076763 doi: 10 1002/2017CL076763
513	Kloss C Borthot C Sollitto P Plogar F Bucci S Khavkin S Logras
514	B (2019) Transport of the 2017 Canadian wildfire plume to the tropics via
515	the Asian monsoon circulation Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 19(21)
510	13547-13567 Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/13547/
518	2019/ doi: 10.5194/acp-19-13547-2019
010	
510	Kremser S. Thomason I. W. von Hobe, M. Hermann, M. Deshler, T. Timmreck
519 520	Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes.
519 520 521	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved
519 520 521 522	Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/
519 520 521 522 523	Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i> , 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ 2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511
519 520 521 522 523 524	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Kr-
519 520 521 522 523 524 525	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Kr-ishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal</i>
 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 526 527 528	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 doi:
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 doi: 10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018).
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 doi: 10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. <i>Atmos Meas Tech</i>,
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. <i>Reviews of Geophysics</i>, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. <i>Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres</i>, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 doi: 10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. <i>Atmos Meas Tech</i>, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 doi: 10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 533 534	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Re-
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 535	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/
 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide
 519 520 521 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54(2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software pack-
 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmos
 519 520 521 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5(7), 1855-1877. Retrieved from https://www
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5(7), 1855-1877. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/ doi: 10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005
519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54(2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.naa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5(7), 1855-1877. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/ doi: 10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005
 519 520 521 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 doi: 10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/goddard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5(7), 1855-1877. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/ doi: 10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005 Moussallam, Y., Rose-Koga, E., Koga, K., Médard, E., Bani, P., Devidal, JL., & Tari, D. (2019, 10). Fast ascent rate during the 2017-2018 Plinian erup-
 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541 542 543 544 	 Kremser, S., Thomason, L. W., von Hobe, M., Hermann, M., Deshler, T., Timmreck, C., Meland, B. (2016). Stratospheric aerosol—Observations, processes, and impact on climate. Reviews of Geophysics, 54 (2), 278-335. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2015RG000511 Kulkarni, P., Ramachandran, S., Bhavani Kumar, Y., Narayana Rao, D., & Krishnaiah, M. (2008). Features of upper troposphere and lower stratosphere aerosols observed by lidar over Gadanki, a tropical Indian station. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D17). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2007JD009411 Loughman, R., Bhartia, P. K., Chen, Z., Xu, P., Nyaku, E., & Taha, G. (2018). The Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Limb Profiler (LP) Version 1 aerosol extinction retrieval algorithm: theoretical basis. Atmos Meas Tech, 11(5), 2633-2651. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-meas-tech.net/11/2633/2018/ doi: 10.5194/amt-11-2633-2018 Marder, J. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sulfur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-07, from https://www.nasa.gov/feature/godard/2019/2018-s-biggest-volcanic-eruption-of-sulfur-dioxide Mayer, B., & Kylling, A. (2005). Technical note: The libRadtran software package for radiative transfer calculations - description and examples of use. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 5(7), 1855-1877. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/5/1855/2005/ doi: 10.5194/acp-5-1855-2005 Moussallam, Y., Rose-Koga, E., Koga, K., Médard, E., Bani, P., Devidal, JL., & Tari, D. (2019, 10). Fast ascent rate during the 2017-2018 Plinian eruption of Ambae (Aoba) volcano: a petrological investigation. Contributions to

546	Newman, P., Coy, L., & Pawson, S. (2019). 2018's Biggest Volcanic Eruption of Sul-
547	fur Dioxide. Retrieved 2019-12-12, from https://acd-ext.gsfc.nasa.gov/
548	Data_services/met/qbo/u_qbo_merra2_vs_p_00N.png
549	Punge, H. J., Konopka, P., Giorgetta, M. A., & Muller, R. (2009). Effects of the
550	quasi-biennial oscillation on low-latitude transport in the stratosphere derived
551	from trajectory calculations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
552	114(D3). Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
553	abs/10.1029/2008JD010518 doi: 10.1029/2008JD010518
554	Ridley, D. A., Solomon, S., Barnes, J. E., Burlakov, V. D., Deshler, T., Dolgii, S. I.,
555	vermer, J. P. (2014). Total voicanic stratospheric aerosol optical depths
556	and implications for global climate change. Geophysical Research Letters, $(1(22))$ 7762 7760 Detrived from https://orginal.com/
557	41(22), $7705-7709$. Retrieved from https://agupubs.onlineitbrary.wifey
558	$\frac{1}{2014 GL001341} $
559	RODOCK, A., Adams, I., Moore, M., Oman, L., & Stenchkov, G. (2007). South-
560	ern Heinsphere atmospheric circulation enects of the 1991 Mount Pinatubo
561	eruption. <i>Geophysical Research Letters</i> , 54 (25). Retrieved from https://
562	10 1029/2007GL031403
503	Sollitto P & Briolo P (2015) On the radiative forcing of valcanic plumes: mod
504	elling the impact of mount etna in the mediterranean Annals of Coonbusice
505	58(0) Betrieved from https://www.annalsofgeophysics.eu/index.php/
567	annals/article/view/6879 doi: 10.4401/ag-6879
568	Sellitto P di Sarra A Corradini S Boichu M Herbin H Dubuisson P
569	Legras, B. (2016). Synergistic use of Lagrangian dispersion and ra-
570	diative transfer modelling with satellite and surface remote sensing mea-
571	surements for the investigation of volcanic plumes: the Mount Etna erup-
572	tion of 25–27 October 2013. Atmos Chem Phys, 16(11), 6841–6861. Re-
573	trieved from https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/16/6841/2016/ doi:
574	10.5194/acp-16-6841-2016
575	Sellitto, P., Zanetel, C., di Sarra, A., Salerno, G., Tapparo, A., Meloni, D.,
576	Legras, B. (2017). The impact of Mount Etna sulfur emissions on the at-
577	mospheric composition and aerosol properties in the central Mediterranean:
578	A statistical analysis over the period 2000–2013 based on observations and
579	Lagrangian modelling. Atmospheric Environment, 148, 77 - 88. Retrieved from
580	http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231016308391
581	doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.10.032
582	Solomon, S., Daniel, J. S., Neely, R. R., Vernier, JP., Dutton, E. G., & Thoma-
583	son, L. W. (2011). The Persistently Variable "Background" Stratospheric
584	Aerosol Layer and Global Climate Change. Science, 333(6044), 866–870. Re-
585	trieved from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/333/6044/866 doi: 10.1106/crimes.1206007
586	10.1126/science.1206027
587	Stevenson, D. S., Jonnson, C. E., Collins, W. J., & Derwent, R. G. (2003).
588	The tropospheric sulphur cycle and the role of volcanic SO2. Geologi-
589	cal Society, London, Special Publications, 213(1), 295–305. Retrieved
590	from https://sp.lyellcollection.org/content/213/1/295 doi:
591	10.1144/GSL.SF.2003.213.01.16
592	of cirrus cloud near the tropical troppension general features. Atmospheric
593	or chius cloud hear the tropical tropopause: general features. Atmospheric $R_{assample} = 66(3) = 202 = 227$ Batrioved from http://www.asianachimet.org/
594	science/article/nii/S016020050200150Y
595	S0169-8095(02)00159-X
590	Thomason I. W. & Vernier IP. (2013) Improved same ii cloud/acrosol catoro
597	rization and observations of the asian tropopause aerosol laver. 1080-2005 44
500	mospheric Chemistry and Physics 13(9) 4605–4616 Retrieved from https://
600	www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/4605/2013/ doi: 10.5194/acp-13-4605-2013

601	Trepte, C., & Hitchman, M. (1992). Tropical stratospheric circulation deduced from
602	355626a0
604	Uesawa D (2009) Clear Sky Badiance (CSB) product from MTSAT-1B Meteoro-
605	logical Satellite CenterTechnical Note(52), 39-48.
606	van de Hulst, H. (1981). Light Scattering by Small Particles. Dover Publications.
607	Retrieved from https://books.google.fr/books?id=PlHfPMVAFRcC
608	Vernier, JP., Fairlie, T. D., Deshler, T., Venkat Ratnam, M., Gadhavi, H., Ku-
609	mar, B. S., Renard, JB. (2018). BATAL: The Balloon Measurement
610	Campaigns of the Asian Tropopause Aerosol Layer. Bulletin of the American
611	Meteorological Society, 99(5), 955-973. Retrieved from https://doi.org/
612	10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0014.1 doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0014.1
613	Vernier, JP., Fairlie, T. D., Natarajan, M., Wienhold, F. G., Bian, J., Martins-
614	son, B. G., Bedka, K. M. (2015). Increase in upper tropospheric and
615	lower stratospheric aerosol levels and its potential connection with Asian
616	pollution. J Geophys Res, 120(4), 1608-1619. Retrieved from https://
617	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/2014JD022372 doi:
618	10.1002/2014 JD022372
619	Vernier, JP., Thomason, L. W., & Kar, J. (2011). CALIPSO detection of an Asian
620	tropopause aerosol layer. Geophys Res Lett, $38(7)$. Retrieved from https://
621	agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/2010GL046614 doi:
622	10.1029/2010GL046614
623	Winker, D. M., Hostetler, C., Vaughan, M., & Omar, A. (2006). CALIOP Al-
624	gorithm Theoretical Basis Document Part 1: CALIOP Instrument, and
625	Algorithms Overview. CALIPSO, 2(PC-SCI-202 Part 1), 1-29. Re-
626	trieved from https://www-calipso.larc.nasa.gov/resources/pdfs/
627	PU-SUI-202.Parti_V2-UVerview.pdi
628	D D Wielieli D A (2010) The CALIDSO Mission Paulatin of the
629	American Meteorological Society 01(0) 1211 1220 Retrieved from https://
630	doi org/10 1175/2010BAMS3009 1 doi: 10 1175/2010BAMS3000 1
632	Woods A & Self S (1992) Thermal disequilibrium at the top of volcanic clouds
633	and its effect on estimates of the column height $Nature(355)$ 628–630 doi:
634	doi:10.1038/355628a0
635	Yu, P., Toon, O. B., Bardeen, C. G., Zhu, Y., Rosenlof, K. H., Portmann, R. W.,
636	Robock, A. (2019). Black carbon lofts wildfire smoke high into the strato-
637	sphere to form a persistent plume. Science, 365(6453), 587–590. Retrieved
638	from https://science.sciencemag.org/content/365/6453/587 doi:
639	10.1126/science.aax1748

Supporting Information for "Global perturbation of the stratospheric aerosol layer and climatic impact associated with the Ambae (Vanuatu) eruption of July 2018"

Corinna Kloss¹, Pasquale Sellitto², Bernard Legras³, Jean-Paul Vernier^{4,5},

Fabrice Jégou¹, M. Venkat Ratnam⁶, B. Suneel Kumar⁷, B. Lakshmi

Madhavan⁶, Gwenaël Berthet¹

¹Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace, CNRS/Université d'Orléans, UMR 7328, Orléans, France

²Laboratoire Interuniversitaire des Systèmes Atmosphériques Université Paris-Est Créteil and Université Paris Diderot, CNRS,

IPSL, UMR 7583, Créteil, France

 ${}^{3}\text{Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, UMR 8539, CNRS - École-PSL/Sorbonne Université/École Polytechnique, Paris, France Contraction Contractione Contr$

 $^4\mathrm{Science}$ Systems and Applications, Inc, Hampton, Virginia, USA

 $^5\mathrm{NASA}$ Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA

 $^6\mathrm{National}$ Atmospheric Research Laboratory, Department of Space, Gadanki-517112, India

⁷National balloon facility, TIFR, Hyderabad, India

Contents of this file Figures S1 to S3

Introduction

Below we attach three additional Figures, supporting the results shown in the main paper.

December 30, 2019, 2:50pm

Supporting information for the injection of the volcanic plume of the April eruption at Ambae (Figure S1) : In the same manner as described in Section 2, the Himawari BT observations are used together with ERA5 temperature profiles to identify the injection altitude for the Ambae April eruption (Fig. S1). Fig. S1a shows the volcanic plume at a core BT-level of 193K, which corresponds to an injection altitude of around 17 km.

Supporting information for Figure 1-4 (Figure S2) Fig. S2 extends the area sampled by Fig. 3. It represents the geographical (Fig. S2a) and vertical distribution (Fig. S2b) of the well-developed (end of September 2018) Ambae volcanic aerosol plume in the global tropics, using CALIOP data.

Supporting information for Figure 2 (Figure S3) As seen in Fig 2, Fig S3(a) shows enhanced aerosol extinction values at 19-20 km altitude after the Ambae volcanic eruption and the subsequent injection into the UTLS of sulfur. To account for the less dense sampling of the SAGEIII/ISS instruments (compared to OMPS), wider areas and time frames were averaged (Fig S3). Fig S3(b) mirrors well the conclusions drawn from Fig. 2. The relatively higher aerosol extinction values in October 2018 (compared to the OMPS observations in Fig. 4) can be explained by the larger area over which SAGEIII/ISS profiles are averaged. Fig S3(c) represents the vertical distribution for the well distributed plume, as seen in Fig. 5.

References

Thomason, L. W., & Vernier, J.-P. (2013). Improved sage ii cloud/aerosol categorization and observations of the asian tropopause aerosol layer: 1989-2005. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(9), 4605–4616. Retrieved from https://www.atmos-chem



Figure S1. Same as for Fig. 1b and c for the determination of the injection altitude for the April eruption at Ambae.

-phys.net/13/4605/2013/ doi: 10.5194/acp-13-4605-2013



Figure S2. (a) CALIOP total backscatter individual observations in the global tropics (from 30° S to 30° N), integrated from 16 to 22 km during the end of September (25^{th} to 30^{th} 2018). Colors represent the overpass time and size represent the total backscatter value. (b) Mean total backscatter profiles as a function of the latitude integrated over all longitudes, in the same period as Fig. S2a.



Figure S3. Same as for Fig. 2 in the manuscript with the SAGE III/ISS aerosol extinction data set (at 521 nm) averaged over 3 months each. (b) Same as for Fig 4(b) with the SAGEIII/ISS data set averaged over a larger area (11-26°N and 71-87°E). (c) Same as for Fig. 5 in February-March averaged over 10-50°E. The SAGEIII/ISS data have been cloud filtered using a simple criterion as described in Thomason and Vernier (2013): excluding values where the ratio of the aerosol extinction coefficient at 525 and 1020 nm is less than 2.