Simulation of plasmaspheric plume impact on dayside magnetic reconnection

Jérémy Dargent¹, Nicolas Aunai², Benoit Lavraud³, Sergio Toledo-Redondo⁴, and Francesco Califano⁵

¹Università di Pisa ²IRAP, Toulouse, France ³Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planetologie - CNRS ⁴Institut de la Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie ⁵University of Pisa

November 22, 2022

Abstract

During periods of strong magnetic activity, cold dense plasma from the plasmasphere typically forms a plume extending towards the dayside magnetopause, eventually reaching it. In this work, we present a large-scale two-dimensional fully kinetic Particle-In-Cell simulation of a reconnecting magnetopause hit by a propagating plasmaspheric plume. We observe three main phases: before the plume arrives at the magnetopause, a transient phase where the system reshapes because of the new inflow conditions, and the full interaction when the plume is well engulfed in the reconnection site. We show the evolution of the magnetopause's dynamics subjected to the modification of the inflowing plasma. Our main result is that the change in the plasma temperature (cold protons in the plume) have no effects on the magnetic reconnection rate, which on average depends only on the inflowing magnetic field and total ion density, before, during and after the impact.

Simulation of plasmaspheric plume impact on dayside magnetic reconnection

J. Dargent¹, N. Aunai², B. Lavraud³, S. Toledo-Redondo^{3,4}, F. Califano¹

¹Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Fermi", Universitá di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ²LPP, CNRS, Ecole polytechnique, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Univ. Paris-Sud, Observatoire de Paris, Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Universités, PSL Research University, Palaiseau, France

Sorbonne Universités, PSL Research University, Palaiseau, France ³Institut de Recherche en Astrophysique et Planétologie, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, CNES, Toulouse, France ⁴Department of Electromagnetism and Electronics, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain

Solution Key Points:

1

2

3

4 5

6 7 8

10	•	We run Particle-In-Cell simulation of asymmetric magnetic reconnection including the
11		impact of a cold plasmaspheric plume
12	•	The impact of the plume reduces the reconnection rate following Magnetohydrodynamics
13		scaling laws due to mass-loading only
14	•	The cold temperature of the plume does not influence the reconnection rate

Corresponding author: Jérémy Dargent, jeremy.dargent@df.unipi.it

15 Abstract

During periods of strong magnetic activity, cold dense plasma from the plasmasphere 16 typically forms a plume extending towards the dayside magnetopause, eventually reaching it. 17 In this work, we present a large-scale two-dimensional fully kinetic Particle-In-Cell simulation 18 of a reconnecting magnetopause hit by a propagating plasmaspheric plume. We observe three 19 main phases: before the plume arrives at the magnetopause, a transient phase where the sys-20 tem reshapes because of the new inflow conditions, and the full interaction when the plume is 21 well engulfed in the reconnection site. We show the evolution of the magnetopause's dynam-22 ics subjected to the modification of the inflowing plasma. Our main result is that the change in 23 the plasma temperature (cold protons in the plume) have no effects on the magnetic reconnec-24 tion rate, which on average depends only on the inflowing magnetic field and total ion density, 25 before, during and after the impact. 26

27 **1 Introduction**

During strong magnetic activity periods cold dense plasma emerging from the plasmas-28 phere typically generates a plume extending towards, and eventually reaching, the dayside mag-29 netopause. The density of these plumes is comparable or even larger than the magnetosheath 30 densities (McFadden et al. 2008; Walsh et al. 2014), and therefore mass-loads the reconnection 31 site and reduces the characteristic Alfvén speed. As a result, the local reconnection rate, i.e. 32 the amount of magnetic flux reconnected per unit of time is reduced and magnetic reconnec-33 tion becomes less efficient at converting magnetic energy into kinetic energy of the particles 34 (Borovsky and Denton 2006; Borovsky and Hesse 2007; Walsh et al. 2013). So far, numerical 35 studies have relied on Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) to model the effect of plasmaspheric 36 plumes on magnetopause reconnection (Borovsky and Hesse 2007; Borovsky et al. 2008; Ouel-37 lette et al. 2016). However, accounting for kinetic scales processes is known to be important 38 for reconnection modeling. Fully kinetic simulations of magnetic reconnection (even without a 39 plume) are small and even the largest so far barely reach a steady state at scales at which ions 40 are fully frozen in the magnetic field (Malakit et al. 2013; Dargent et al. 2017). Consequently, 41 plume simulations until now have been unable to model the kinetic dynamics of magnetic re-42 connection while kinetic simulations of magnetic reconnection have yet to reach scales relevant 43 with fluid dynamics. We can therefore wonder to what extent kinetic solutions differ from the 44 fluid ones, in particular in the context of the impact of a cold plasma plume. 45

46 *Cassak and Shay* (2007) proposed a MHD scaling law of the asymmetric magnetic recon-47 nection rate R in steady state depending only on the inflowing plasma density n and magnetic 48 field B values:

$$R \sim \frac{B_1 B_2}{B_1 + B_2} v_{out} \frac{2\delta}{L}$$
(1)

$$v_{out} = \sqrt{B_1 B_2 \frac{B_1 + B_2}{B_1 n_2 + B_2 n_1}} \tag{2}$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to the two sides of the layer and δ/L is the aspect ratio of the diffusion region. This model proved to be quite accurate (*Birn et al.* 2008; *Borovsky et al.* 2008). However, the domain of validity of this model is limited. It only gives the local reconnection rate calculated with parameters near the X point. On larger scales, the global reconnection rate can be calculated by the net force acting on the flow in the magnetosheath. Such a global reconnection rate often differ from the local reconnection rate (*Zhang et al.* 2016). As a MHD model, *Cassak and Shay* (2007) neglect all kinetic processes potentially impacting magnetic reconnection (*Hesse et al.* 2013; *Dargent et al.* 2017; *Tenfjord et al.* 2019). Finally, due to the steady state assumption, one can wonder if this model is still applicable in during variations of the external environment, such as during the impact of a plasmaspheric plume.

Observation studies (Toledo-Redondo et al. 2015; André et al. 2016) suggest that the pres-59 ence of magnetized cold ions could reduce the current density, thus the Hall electric field, and 60 consequently could have an impact on the reconnection rate, although in-situ spacecraft ob-61 servations cannot measure the reconnection rate accurately (Genestreti et al. 2018). However, 62 Toledo-Redondo et al. (2018) showed, using Particle-In-Cell simulations, that even if the elec-63 tric field is locally reduced by cold ions, the potential drop averaged through the current layer, 64 and therefore the mean reconnection electric field, remains unaffected. More generally, recent 65 kinetic simulations of magnetic reconnection with cold ions suggest that the effect of cold ions 66 on the reconnection rate is negligible for both symmetric (Divin et al. 2016) and asymmetric 67 (Dargent et al. 2017) layers. Those works, however, consider cases where cold ions are a mi-68 nority species (33% of the magnetosphere, itself three times less dense than the magnetosheath 69 in Dargent et al. (2017, 2019)) unable to modify significantly the reconnection layer dynamics 70 such as, instead, in the case of a plume impact. 71

In the work presented here we have performed a fully kinetic simulation to investigate the impact of a plasmaspheric plume with a reconnecting dayside magnetopause. We made the domain large enough to include the transition from kinetic scale to frozen-in scales and long enough to capture the modifications caused by a plasmasperic plume on a fully developed exhaust. The results presented in this paper especially focus on the reconnection rate evolution depending on the inflowing plasma parameters.

78 **2** Simulation setup

In this paper, we present a two-dimensional (2D-3V) fully kinetic simulation of the im-79 pact of a large density plasmaspheric plume on ongoing asymmetric magnetic reconnection 80 using the Particle-In-Cell (PIC) code SMILEI (Derouillat et al. 2017). The plume is modeled in 81 the simulation by a large amount of cold plasma (twice larger than the magnetosheath density 82 itself) initially located in the magnetosphere and at some distance from the current sheet. Such 83 dense plume is probably unfrequent but nevertheless it has already been observed (Walsh et al. 2014) and allows us to magnify the effects driven by the plume impact. This plume is then 85 advected towards the reconnection site by the reconnection inflow. We can define four main 86 phases of the simulation. The first one (phase I) corresponds to the initial growth of the re-87 connection rate occuring between the pristine magnetosphere and the magnetosheath, before 88 reaching a steady state. In the second one (phase II) we observe the quasi-steady magnetic re-89 connection without cold ions since the plume has not yet reached the current sheet. The third 90 phase (phase III) corresponds to the transition period when the plume impacts the reconnec-91 tion layer. The last phase (phase IV) is that of a relatively steady state reconnection with a very 92 dense plume located at the inflow region. 93

All physical quantities here are normalized using ion characteristic quantities. The magnetic field and density are normalized to the values in the magnetosheath, i.e. B_0 and n_0 , respectively. The masses and charges are normalized to the proton mass m_p and charge e, time is normalized to the inverse of the proton gyrofrequency $\omega_{ci}^{-1} = m_p/eB_0$ and length to the proton inertial length $d_i = c/\omega_{pi}$, where c is the speed of light in vacuum and $\omega_{pi} = \sqrt{n_0 e^2/m_p \epsilon_0}$ is the proton plasma frequency. Velocities are normalized to the Alfvén velocity $v_{Al} = d_i \omega_{ci}$.

Quantities		п	$ T_i $	n _{ish}	n _{ih}	n_{ip}	T _{ish}	T_{ih} T_{ip}
Magnetosheath	-1	1	2.9	1	0	0	2.9	0 0
Magnetosphere (no plume)	2	0.1	16.7	0	0.1	0	0	16.7 0
Magnetosphere (with plume)	2	2.096	0.8	0	0.096	2	0	16.7 0.03

Table 1: Asymptotic values of the different quantities normalized by ion scale quantities.

The initial condition consists in one current layer varying in the y-direction and lying in 100 the (x, y) plane. The domain has size given by $(x_{max}, y_{max}) = (1280, 256)d_i$. There are $n_x =$ 101 25600 cells in the x direction, $n_y = 10240$ cells in the y direction and initially 50 particles per 102 cell per population. Plasma moments and electromagnetic forces are calculated using second 103 order interpolation. Particles are loaded using local Maxwellian distributions. The time step is 104 $dt = 8.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. The total simulation time is $T = 800 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. The mass ratio m_i/m_e is 25. 105 We fix $\omega_{pe}/\omega_{ce} = 4$, i.e. $c/v_{Al} = 20$. The system has periodic boundary conditions in the x 106 direction and reflective boundary conditions in the y direction. The current layer is located at 107 $y_0 = y_{max}/2 = 128 d_i$. The plume is initially located at $\Delta y = 20 d_i$ away from the current 108 layer on the magnetospheric side, i.e. at a position $y_p = 108 d_i$. The value of Δy is determined 109 by using the Cassak and Shay (2007) formula giving a characteristic time t_{imp} for the plume to 110 reach the current layer is approximately 300 ω_{ci}^{-1} . 111

The asymptotic magnetic field value and the temperatures and density for each population in the different area are summarized in Tab.1. The calculations of the profiles of density, temperature and magnetic fields are presented in the Appendix.

3 Simulation results

116

3.1 Overview

We aim at studying the impact of a plasmaspheric plume on magnetic reconnection at 117 the dayside magnetopause. This study includes the propagation of the (cold) plume ions in the 118 exhaust and their impact on the structure of the exhaust. The inflowing cold ions do not only 119 affect the exhaust through the X line but also through the magnetosperic separatrices because 120 of their drift there (Dargent et al. 2019). Thus, to fully capture the impact on a plasmaspheric 121 plume on magnetic reconnection, we need well-developed exhausts in both phases with and 122 without the plume. For that purpose we run the simulation until reaching a quasi steady state 123 with exhausts of plasmaspheric plume plasma of the order of 100 d_i on both sides. Phase I 124 lasts from t = 0 ω_{ci}^{-1} to $t \approx 50$ ω_{ci}^{-1} . Then is phase II, where the plume is still far from the mag-125 netopause and the reconnected plasmas are essentially the tenuous hot magnetospheric plasma 126 and the denser magnetosheath plasma. This phase is marked by the transient formation of plas-127 moids, which have a large impact on the local reconnection rate (see Sec.3.2). This period ends at $t \approx 300 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$, with the arrival of the plume. It lasts long enough for the exhausts to develop 129 for more than 100 d_i from either sides of the diffusion region. The impact of the plume de-130 termines the beginning of phase III, which is a transition period. This period will be further 131 132 described in Sec.3.2. After this transition, phase IV is characterized by quasi-steady magnetic

reconnection in the presence of the plume. This period starts before $t \approx 400 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ and lasts until the end of the simulation at $t = 800 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. This phase is marked by the formation of two big plasmoids. This long time allows for the development of a long exhaust on either side of the diffusion region, despite the decrease of the Alfvén velocity due to the mass loading effect of the plume.

In Fig.1 we show the shaded iso-contours of various quantities at time t = 225 (except 138 for the first frame) and t = 460, i.e. before and after the impact of the plume. In the top frame 139 we show the magnetic field in the whole box at $t = 460 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. The magnetic large-scale con-140 figuration we see here results from an inverse cascade process of the initial many island struc-141 tures emerging due to the tearing instability during phase I. We observe the formation of about 142 seven-eight magnetic small islands (not shown here), corresponding to the growth of the most 143 unstable modes. These islands then start to interact and merge very efficiently because of the 144 2D geometry (Malara et al. 1992). The purple box in Fig.1.1 marks the region plotted in the 145 following panels, 2 to 7. Left panels correspond to $t = 225 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ (phase II). Right panels correspond to $t = 460 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ (phase IV). Fig.1.2 we show the y-component of the magnetic field 146 147 which displays a clear bipolar signature of plasmoids. Furthermore, a local zoom at t = 460148 on the reconnection layer (not shown here) shows that the in-plane magnetic field starts to bend 149 and flap inside the exhaust region. Such curving of the field lines is also visible on the shaded iso-contours of B_z in Fig.1.3c. We conjecture that such fluctuations result from the develop-151 ment of a fire-hose like instability driven by local anisotropy. This point is not our objective 152 here and will be matter for future work. Fig.1.3 shows the out-of-plane magnetic field B_z and 153 its quadrupolar Hall structure together with the in-plane field lines overplotted in black. We 154 observe that the Hall structure changes following the density asymmetry evolution between 155 t = 215 and t = 460, Fig.1.3a and 3b. Indeed, the strong density asymmetry before the im-156 pact of the plume makes the Hall fields peaking on the magnetosheath side of the exhaust 157 (Fig.1.3*a*), whereas it becomes more quadrupolar as the plume fills the exhaust (Fig.1.3*b*). On the other hand during the same time interval the magnetic asymmetry remains unchanged. 159 Fig.1.4 shows the electric field E_{y} and its characteristic Hall bipolar structure. The asymmetry 160 density evolution driven by the plume entry also produces a strong decrease of the Hall elec-161 tric field amplitude (see Fig.1.4b) because of the density increase (Toledo-Redondo et al. 2018), 162 pushing the system towards a more symmetric configuration. The plasma density is shown in 163 Fig.1.5. In particular in Fig.1.5*a* we distinguish the tenuous magnetospheric plasma before the 164 impact of the plume (dark blue) reconnecting with the magnetosheath plasma (light blue). The 165 dense plasma of the plume (orange) is arriving from below. In Fig.1.5b, it is this plasma which is reconnecting. Finally, Fig.1.6 (Fig.1.7) shows the ions (electrons) exhaust velocity V_x . These 167 two quantities look very similar except at the X-point and along the exhaust boundaries. We 168 also observe that the exhaust velocity slows down as soon as the plume impacts on the recon-169 nection region, as expected because of a mass loading effect (Cassak and Shay 2007; Borovsky 170 et al. 2008; Walsh et al. 2013). 171

3.2 Reconnection rate dependencies

We will now focus on the evolution of the reconnection rate during the whole simulation and compare it with the theoretical model by *Cassak and Shay* (2007). From Eq.1 we get a reconnection rate R scaling with the inflowing density and magnetic field as follows:

$$R \propto \frac{B_1 B_2}{B_1 + B_2} v_{out} = B_1 B_2 \sqrt{\frac{B_1 B_2}{B_1 + B_2} \frac{1}{B_1 n_2 + B_2 n_1}} = R_{CS}$$
(3)



Figure 1: 1) - Out-of-plane magnetic field B_z in the whole box. In-plane magnetic field lines are depicted as thick black lines. The purple square shows the zoomed area used for all the other pictures of this figure. Simulation fields before (*a*) and after (*b*) the impact of the plume: 2) - Magnetic field along *y*. 3) - Magnetic field along *z*. In-plane magnetic field lines are depicted as thick black lines. 4) - Electric field along *y*. 5) - Electron density. 6) - Ion velocity along *x*. 7) - Electron velocity along *x*.

where *B* and *n* are the asymptotic values of the norm of the magnetic field and density, respectively. The subscripts 1 and 2 indicate the two sides of the layer (in our case the magnetosphere and the magnetosheath, respectively). At steady state, the quantity R_{CS} can be seen as a normalization factor of the reconnection rate. From Eq.1 and 3, we get that the normalized reconnection rate is proportional to the aspect ratio:

$$R' = \frac{R}{v_{out}B_{mean}} = \frac{R}{R_{CS}} \sim \frac{2\delta}{L}$$
(4)



Figure 2: a - Reconnection rate *R* of the plume simulation, in blue, and this same rate normalized by R_{CS} (see Eq.3), in green. Reconnection rate is here defined as the time derivative at the X point of the magnetic flux Φ in the simulation plane (x, y). This is equivalent to the out-of-plane electric field E_z at the X point position, see *Shay et al.* (2001) or *Pritchett* (2008) for a developed description of the magnetic flux and how reconnection rate comes from it. The vertical straight line indicate the impact time of the plasmaspheric plume. The vertical dashed lines show the formation times of plasmoids. The thick ones are for the large plasmoids, which survive for hundreds of ω_{ci}^{-1} , while the thin ones are for transient plasmoids. b - Asymptotic values of magnetic field (*B*) and density (*n*) used to normalized the reconnection rate. These values are taken at a distance of $\delta y = 10 d_i$ (resp. $\delta y = 20 d_i$) afar from the X point in the magnetosphere (resp. the magnetosheath) and is then shifted in time depending of the speed of convection of the plasma. c - Scatter plot, for all the times in the simulations such as $t > 100 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$, of the reconnection rate *R* versus κR_{CS} , where $\kappa = 0.127$ is a constant such as $\kappa R_{CS}/R$ scales along a slope of 1 (black curve, see the text). Each point correspond to the mean value of the reconnection rate on a time interval and the bars associated with them provide one standard deviation.

181

In Fig.2*a*, we plot the reconnection rate *R* and the normalized reconnection rate $R' \sim 2\delta/L$, blue and green line, respectively. In particular, the blue curve helps us in following the global dynamics of the simulation. In the initial phase up to $t \approx 50 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$, magnetic reconnection develops. Then, the reconnection rate reaches a maximum and decreases between $t \approx 50 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ and $t \approx 150 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ during the overshoot phase (early phase II). The overshoot is a typical feature observed in numerical simulations of magnetic reconnection and depends on the initial current sheet thickness (*Shay et al.* 2007) and on the initial perturbation. The time interval between $t \approx$

¹⁸⁹ 150 ω_{ci}^{-1} and $t \approx 300 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ is the phase of quasi-steady magnetic reconnection without the plume (late phase II). This phase is strongly affected by the formation of transient plasmoids leading to large variations of the reconnection rate. At $t \approx 300 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$, the plasmaspheric plume impacts on the magnetopause and the reconnection rate decreases (phase III). After $t \approx 350 \ \omega_{ci}^{-1}$, the reconnection is once again in a quasi-steady state (phase IV).

The green curve in Fig.2a is obtained by dividing the reconnection rate R by R_{CS} (see 194 Eq.4). To calculate R_{CS} , we use the inflow plasma asymptotic values of density and magnetic 195 field plotted in Fig.2b. These values are taken in the magnetosphere (resp. the magnetosheath) 196 at a distance of $\delta y = 10 d_i$ (resp. $\delta y = 20 d_i$) away from the X point. To take into account 197 the plasma convection, we calculate the R_{CS} at t with plasma values taken at $t - \delta t$, where 198 $\delta t \sim B_x/E_z \delta y$. E_z turns out to be of the order of 0.1 (as expected from Liu et al. (2017)) and we used the initial values listed from Tab.1 for B_x . However, the estimation of δt is not 200 a strict equality. To find a usable empirical relation between δt and δy , we looked how much 201 time the plume takes to drift from its initial position to the magnetopause (traveled distance 202 of $\Delta y = 20 d_i$ and impact at the X point at $t = 300 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. We find $\delta t = 5B_x \delta y$. For the δy 203 chosen in Fig.2.2b, we obtain a time shift of $\delta t = 100 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$ in both cases. The main feature 204 of this green curve is that, despite large deviations at small scales (mainly due to plasmoids), 205 the model of Cassak and Shay (2007) holds in magnitude even for our extreme conditions. It is also worth noticing that the green curve deviates from the blue curve before the impact of the 207 plume at $t = 300 \omega_{ci}^{-1}$. The reason is that the simulation box contains a finite amount of mag-208 netic flux. As the magnetic field is reconnected, the inflowing magnetic flux will be depleted 209 and the field amplitude will decrease. Such a decrease is usually neglected but given the size 210 and the time length of this simulation, we observe a small decrease of the inflowing magnetic 211 field amplitude, of the order of 20% between the beginning and the end of the simulation (see 212 Fig.2b). A secondary feature of the green curve is that its steady state value is in-between 0.1213 and 0.2, which is consistent with the work of Liu et al. (2017, 2018) about the fluid scale con-214 straints on the reconnection rate. 215

In Fig.2*c*, we can see the reconnection rate R in our simulation versus the *Cassak and* 216 Shay (2007) normalization factor R_{CS} (see Eq.3) for each phase of the simulation. For each 217 phase, we give the mean value (point) and one standard deviation (bars) of the reconnection 218 rates. The rates excluded from the calculation because of plasmoids are all the rates for times t219 such as 190 < t < 300 and 635 < t < 675. The R_{CS} term is normalized by a constant κ for 220 the slope between R and R_{CS} to be equal to 1. To get κ , we made a linear regression on the 221 reconnection rates. We notice that $\kappa \sim 2\delta/L \sim 0.1$. The global picture of Fig.2c is that, except 222 in presence of transient plasmoids the Cassak and Shay (2007) theory describes very well the 223 variations of the reconnection rate. In the details, during the overshoot period (yellow dot in 224 Fig.2), the reconnection rate is a bit higher than predicted by the theory, but this is expected 225 (Shay et al. 2007), since the steady state is not yet reached. The quasi-steady states with (red square) and without (blue triangle) the plume scales well with the slope of 1. Regarding the 227 transition (light blue diamond), we also observe that the rates scale very well with the theory. 228 Furthermore, whatever the phase of the simulation, $R/R_{CS} \sim 0.1$ (*Cassak et al.* 2017). 229

4 Conclusions

We showed that during the impact of a plasmaspheric plume modeled by adding a cold proton population to the ion distribution, the magnetic reconnection rate is only affected by its contribution to the density. The reconnection rate turns out to be in agreement with the *Cassak* *and Shay* (2007) model before, during and after the plume impact. This means that this model remains valid whatever the temperature of the populations composing the plasma. On the other hand, the local reconnection rate is affected by plasmoids, which modify the aspect ratio of the diffusion region.

The *Cassak and Shay* (2007) model reveals that the reconnection rate is much more sensitive to magnetic field changes rather than to density variations. By applying Eq.3 to our initial current layer, we get that an increase of magnetospheric density from 0.1 to 2 (i.e. impact of the plume) is equivalent to a decrease of the magnetospheric magnetic field from 2 B_0 to 1.2 B_0 , in term of induced variations of the reconnection rate. Such magnetic field changes on the magnetospheric side of the magnetopause are quite common and depend mainly on the Solar wind dynamic pressure conditions. We expect a similar effect on magnetic reconnection in the presence of magnetosphere magnetic field depletion.

The simulation presented here addresses for the first time asymmetric magnetic recon-246 nection with a large density plume down to electron kinetic scale. We observe the formation of 247 large-scale plasmoids driven by the development of small scale instabilities. We also observe, even far from the X line, the presence of the Hall electric field along the separatrices embed-249 ded in a MHD-like exhaust ($\mathbf{v}_e \simeq \mathbf{v}_i$). Furthermore, we observe that the impact of the plume 250 changes the structure of the whole system eventually leading to a more symmetric layer and to 251 a slowing down of the exhaust velocity. Finally, this work highlights the strong impact of plas-252 moids on the local reconnection rate since they modify the aspect ratio of the diffusion region. 253 In Eq.3 a constant aspect ratio is assumed, but the reconnection rate scales linearly with it. A 254 future study will focus on the formation of plasmoids in the diffusion region and their impact 255 on magnetic reconnection.

257 Acknowledgments

This project (JD, FC) has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 258 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 776262 (AIDA). The authors 259 thank the SMILEI development team and especially Julien Dérouillat, Arnaud Beck, Frederic 260 Perez, Tommaso Vinci and Michael Grech. This work was performed using HPC resources 261 from GENCI-TGCC (special grant t201604s020). We acknowledge support from the ISSI in-262 ternational team Cold plasma of ionospheric origin in the Earth's magnetosphere. Research 263 at IRAP was supported by CNRS, CNES and the University of Toulouse. STR acknowledges 264 support of the of the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) of Spain (grant 265 FIS2017-90102-R). 266

267 Appendix

The simulation is initialized with an electric field **E** null everywhere and a magnetic field **B**:

$$\mathbf{B}(x,y) = \frac{1}{B_r} \left[-\tanh\left(\frac{y-y_0}{L} + \arctan\left(\frac{B_r-1}{B_r+1}\right)\right) \frac{B_r+1}{2} - \frac{B_r-1}{2} \right] \mathbf{u}_x \tag{5}$$

with L = 1, $B_r = |B_{sheath}/B_{sphere}|$ the magnetic field ratio between both sides of the current sheet and \mathbf{u}_x the unit vector in the *x* direction. We choose $B_r = 0.5$.

The total temperature $T = T_i + T_e$ is determined in order to preserve the pressure balance. The electron to ion temperature ratio is constant and chosen equal to $\theta = T_e/T_i = 0.2$. We assume that the ratio of electron and ion currents is equal to $-T_e/T_i$. To trigger magnetic reconnection, we locally pinch magnetic field lines with a perturbation **B**₁ on the initial magnetic field (Eq.5):

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = B_{1x}(x, y)\mathbf{u}_x + B_{1y}(x, y)\mathbf{u}_y \tag{6}$$

$$B_{1x}(x,y) = -2\delta b \frac{y - y_0}{\sigma} \exp{-\frac{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$
(7)

$$B_{1y}(x,y) = 2\delta b \frac{x-x_0}{\sigma} \exp{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2}{\sigma^2}}$$
(8)

where $y_0 = y_{max}/2$, $x_0 = x_{max}/2$, $\delta b = 0.12$ and $\sigma = 1$.

We initialized our simulation with three ion species: the magnetosheath ions (ish), hot 280 magnetospheric ions (ih) and plume ions (ip). We only implement one population of electrons 281 (e). We have $n = n_e = n_{ish} + n_{ih} + n_{ic}$. The hot magnetospheric ions are reconnecting with mag-282 netosheath ions before the impact of the plume. They are tenuous compared to magnetosheath 283 ions $(n_r = n_{ish}/n_{ih} = 10)$. Their density is negligible compared to the plume's one, with a den-284 sity ratio $n_{hop} = n_{ih}/n_{ip} = 0.05$. Their high temperature is essential for the pressure balance, 285 as $T_{hop} = T_{ih}/T_{ip} = 500$. To calculate the density profile of each species, we make the assump-286 tion that each of these species has initially a constant temperature in the domain. We determine 287 the asymptotic densities thanks to the normalized pressure balance: 288

$$K = n_{ish}T_{ish} + n_{ih}T_{ih} + n_{ip}T_{ip} + n_eT_e + \frac{B^2}{2}$$
(9)

$$= (n_{ish}T_{ish} + n_{ih}T_{ih} + n_{ip}T_{ip})(1+\theta) + \frac{B^2}{2}$$
(10)

where K is a constant, fixed at $1/B_r^2 = 4$ in our case.

The ion temperatures being constant, we determine them by using asymptotic values of the density and applying Eq.10. Thus, we calculate from Eq.10:

$$T_{ish} = \frac{K - 1/2}{1 + \theta} \tag{11}$$

$$T_{ih} = \frac{n_r}{1+\theta} \left(K - \frac{1}{2B_r^2} \right)$$
(12)

$$T_{ip} = \frac{T_{ih}}{T_{hop}} \tag{13}$$

Note that to preserve both pressure balance and our previous assumptions of constant ions tem-

perature, we can not keep $n_{ih} = 0.1$ in presence of the plume. The asymptotic temperatures and densities for each population in the different area are summarized in Fig.1.

295

We fix the density profiles for the magnetosheath ions and the plume ions such as:

$$n_{ish}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{y - y_0}{L}\right) \right]$$
(14)

$$n_{ip}(x, y) = \left[1 - \tanh\left(\frac{y - y_0 + dy}{L}\right)\right]$$
(15)

$$n_{ih}(x,y) = \frac{1}{T_{ih}} \left[\frac{K - B(x,y)^2/2}{1 + \theta} - n_{ish}(x,y)T_{ish} - n_{ip}(x,y)T_{ip} \right]$$
(16)

297 **References**

- André, M., W. Li, S. Toledo-Redondo, Y. V. Khotyaintsev, A. Vaivads, D. B. Graham, C. Norgren, J. Burch, P.-A. Lindqvist, G. Marklund, R. Ergun, R. Torbert, W. Magnes, C. T. Russell, B. Giles, T. E. Moore, M. O. Chandler, C. Pollock, D. T. Young, L. A. Avanov, J. C.
 Dorelli, D. J. Gershman, W. R. Paterson, B. Lavraud, and Y. Saito (2016), Magnetic recon-
- nection and modification of the hall physics due to cold ions at the magnetopause, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(13), 6705–6712, doi:10.1002/2016GL069665, 2016GL069665.
- Birn, J., J. E. Borovsky, and M. Hesse (2008), Properties of asymmetric magnetic reconnection, *Physics of Plasmas*, *15*(3), 032101, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2888491.
- Borovsky, J. E., and M. H. Denton (2006), Effect of plasmaspheric drainage plumes on solar wind/magnetosphere coupling, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(20), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/
 2006GL026519, 120101.
- Borovsky, J. E., and M. Hesse (2007), The reconnection of magnetic fields between plasmas with different densities: Scaling relations, *Physics of Plasmas*, *14*(10), 102309, doi:http://dx. doi.org/10.1063/1.2772619.
- Borovsky, J. E., M. Hesse, J. Birn, and M. M. Kuznetsova (2008), What determines the reconnection rate at the dayside magnetosphere?, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *113*(A7), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2007JA012645, a07210.
- Cassak, P. A., and M. A. Shay (2007), Scaling of asymmetric magnetic reconnection: General theory and collisional simulations, *Physics of Plasmas*, *14*(10), 102114, doi:http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.2795630.
- Cassak, P. A., Y.-H. Liu, and M. Shay (2017), A review of the 0.1 reconnection rate problem, *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 83(5), 715830,501, doi:10.1017/S0022377817000666.
- Dargent, J., N. Aunai, B. Lavraud, S. Toledo-Redondo, M. A. Shay, P. A. Cassak, and
 K. Malakit (2017), Kinetic simulation of asymmetric magnetic reconnection with cold
 ions, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *122*(5), 5290–5306, doi:10.1002/
 2016JA023831, 2016JA023831.
- Dargent, J., N. Aunai, B. Lavraud, S. Toledo-Redondo, and F. Califano (2019), Signatures of cold ions in a kinetic simulation of the reconnecting magnetopause, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *124*(4), 2497–2514, doi:10.1029/2018JA026343.
- Derouillat, J., A. Beck, F. Pérez, T. Vinci, M. Chiaramello, A. Grassi, M. Flé, G. Bouchard, I. Plotnikov, N. Aunai, J. Dargent, C. Riconda, and M. Grech (2017), Smilei : A collaborative, open-source, multi-purpose particle-in-cell code for plasma simulation, *Computer Physics Communications*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2017.09.024.
- Divin, A., Y. V. Khotyaintsev, A. Vaivads, M. André, S. Toledo-Redondo, S. Markidis, and
 G. Lapenta (2016), Three-scale structure of diffusion region in the presence of cold ions,
 Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, *121*(12), 12,001–12,013, doi:10.1002/
 2016JA023606, 2016JA023606.
- Genestreti, K. J., T. K. M. Nakamura, R. Nakamura, R. E. Denton, R. B. Torbert, J. L. Burch,
 F. Plaschke, S. A. Fuselier, R. E. Ergun, B. L. Giles, and C. T. Russell (2018), How ac curately can we measure the reconnection rate em for the mms diffusion region event of
 11 july 2017?, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *123*(11), 9130–9149, doi:
 10.1029/2018JA025711.
- Hesse, M., N. Aunai, S. Zenitani, M. Kuznetsova, and J. Birn (2013), Aspects of collisionless
 magnetic reconnection in asymmetric systems, *Physics of Plasmas*, 20(6), 061210, doi:http:
 //dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4811467.

- Liu, Y.-H., M. Hesse, F. Guo, W. Daughton, H. Li, P. A. Cassak, and M. A. Shay (2017), Why does steady-state magnetic reconnection have a maximum local rate of order 0.1?, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *118*, 085,101, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.085101.
- Liu, Y.-H., M. Hesse, P. A. Cassak, M. A. Shay, S. Wang, and L.-J. Chen (2018), On the collisionless asymmetric magnetic reconnection rate, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *45*(8), 3311– 3318, doi:10.1002/2017GL076460.
- Malakit, K., M. A. Shay, P. A. Cassak, and D. Ruffolo (2013), New electric field in asymmetric magnetic reconnection, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *111*, 135,001, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.135001.
- Malara, F., P. Veltri, and V. Carbone (1992), Competition among nonlinear effects in tearing instability saturation, *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics*, *4*(10), 3070–3086, doi:10.1063/1. 860477.
- McFadden, J. P., C. W. Carlson, D. Larson, J. Bonnell, F. S. Mozer, V. Angelopoulos, K.-H.
 Glassmeier, and U. Auster (2008), Structure of plasmaspheric plumes and their participation in magnetopause reconnection: First results from themis, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(17), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2008GL033677, 117S10.
- Ouellette, J. E., J. G. Lyon, O. J. Brambles, B. Zhang, and W. Lotko (2016), The effects of plasmaspheric plumes on dayside reconnection, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *121*(5), 4111–4118, doi:10.1002/2016JA022597.
- Pritchett, P. L. (2008), Collisionless magnetic reconnection in an asymmetric current sheet,
 Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics), *113*, A06210, doi:10.1029/2007JA012930.
- Shay, M. A., J. F. Drake, B. N. Rogers, and R. E. Denton (2001), Alfvénic collisionless magnetic reconnection and the hall term, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 106(A3), 3759–3772, doi:10.1029/1999JA001007.
- Shay, M. A., J. F. Drake, and M. Swisdak (2007), Two-Scale Structure of the Electron Dissipation Region during Collisionless Magnetic Reconnection, *Physical Review Letters*, 99(15), 155002, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.155002.
- Tenfjord, P., M. Hesse, C. Norgren, S. F. Spinnangr, and H. Kolstø (2019), The impact of oxygen on the reconnection rate, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *46*(12), 6195–6203, doi: 10.1029/2019GL082175.
- Toledo-Redondo, S., A. Vaivads, M. André, and Y. V. Khotyaintsev (2015), Modification of the Hall physics in magnetic reconnection due to cold ions at the Earth's magnetopause, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6146–6154, doi:10.1002/2015GL065129.
- Toledo-Redondo, S., J. Dargent, N. Aunai, B. Lavraud, M. André, W. Li, B. Giles, P.-A.
- Lindqvist, R. E. Ergun, C. T. Russell, and J. L. Burch (2018), Perpendicular current reduction caused by cold ions of ionospheric origin in magnetic reconnection at the magnetopause: Particle-in-cell simulations and spacecraft observations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(19), 10,033–10,042, doi:10.1029/2018GL079051.
- Walsh, B. M., D. G. Sibeck, Y. Nishimura, and V. Angelopoulos (2013), Statistical analysis of the plasmaspheric plume at the magnetopause, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *118*(8), 4844–4851, doi:10.1002/jgra.50458.
- Walsh, B. M., T. D. Phan, D. G. Sibeck, and V. M. Souza (2014), The plasmaspheric plume and magnetopause reconnection, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(2), 223–228, doi:10.1002/ 2013GL058802.
- Zhang, B., O. J. Brambles, M. Wiltberger, W. Lotko, J. E. Ouellette, and J. G. Lyon (2016),
 How does mass loading impact local versus global control on dayside reconnection?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(5), 1837–1844, doi:10.1002/2016GL068005.