Knowledge Co-production in a Research-to-Operation (R2O) Process for Development of a Great Lakes Ice Forecast: Reflection from a Stakeholder Engagement Workshop

Ayumi Fujisaki-Manome¹, Devin Gill², Tian Guo², Eric J Anderson³, and Maria Carmen Lemos¹

¹University of Michigan-Ann Arbor ²Cooperative Institute for Great Lakes Research ³National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

November 26, 2022

Abstract

In weather forecast products, stakeholder engagement in the research-to-operations (R2O) transition process has been increasingly valued yet it is far from being standardized. Engagement at multiple R2O stages and methods rigorously supported by social science are critical in implementing a practice of knowledge coproduction in such forecast products. With an example of short-term ice forecasts in the North American Great Lakes, this commentary provides a reflection of the stakeholder engagement workshop where two targeted stakeholder groups (shipping industry and U.S. Coast Guard 9 District), operational forecast providers, and scientists worked together to maximize the usability of ice forecast guidance from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s Great Lakes Operational Forecast System (GLOFS). The workshop was designed carefully by social scientists to address predominant questions; what decisions do stakeholder usability requirements for a short-term Great Lakes ice forecast? The findings from the workshop provided in-depth information to formulate recommendations to GLOFS on its user interface of the upcoming ice forecast guidance, as well as the future model development. The effort placed a steppingstone toward a new standard of R2O, where participation of stakeholders and social scientists is a formalized part of the process.

Knowledge Co-production in a Research-to-Operation (R2O) Process for 1 Development of a Great Lakes Ice Forecast: Reflection from a Stakeholder 2 **Engagement Workshop** 3 4 A. Fujisaki-Manome^{1,2}, D. G. Gill¹, T. Guo¹, E. J. Anderson³, and M. C. Lemos⁴ 5 ¹Cooperative Institute for Great Lakes Research, University of Michigan 6 ²Climate & Space Sciences and Engineering, University of Michigan 7 ³National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, Great 8 Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory 9 ⁴School for Environment and Sustainability, University of Michigan 10 11 Corresponding author: Ayumi Fujisaki-Manome (ayumif@umich.edu) 12 **Key Points:** 13 A stakeholder engagement workshop was held to improve the usability of the short-term 14 • Great Lakes ice forecast product. 15 Scientists, operational forecasters, and stakeholders formed recommendations to the 16 • forecast user interface and to the long-term research. 17 Stakeholder engagement using social science methods should be formalized in a new 18 •

starkenolder engagement using social science methods should be formal
 standard of R2O transition.

Abstract 20

- In weather forecast products, stakeholder engagement in the research-to-operations (R2O) 21
- transition process has been increasingly valued yet it is far from being standardized. Engagement 22
- at multiple R2O stages and methods rigorously supported by social science are critical in 23
- implementing a practice of knowledge coproduction in such forecast products. With an example 24
- 25 of short-term ice forecasts in the North American Great Lakes, this commentary provides a
- reflection of the stakeholder engagement workshop where two targeted stakeholder groups 26
- (shipping industry and U.S. Coast Guard 9th District), operational forecast providers, and 27
- scientists worked together to maximize the usability of ice forecast guidance from the National 28
- Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)'s Great Lakes Operational Forecast System 29 (GLOFS). The workshop was designed carefully by social scientists to address predominant 30
- questions; what decisions do stakeholders make with ice information; what ice information do 31
- stakeholders use to support that decision-making; and what are stakeholder usability 32
- requirements for a short-term Great Lakes ice forecast? The findings from the workshop 33
- provided in-depth information to formulate recommendations to GLOFS on its user interface of 34
- the upcoming ice forecast guidance, as well as the future model development. The effort placed a 35
- steppingstone toward a new standard of R2O, where participation of stakeholders and social 36
- scientists is a formalized part of the process. 37
- 38

Plain Language Summary 39

Weather forecasts should be easy for people to use. To achieve this, it is important for users to 40 participate in designing the forecast products. However, this is not very common yet. We show 41

an example of the new Great Lakes ice forecast, for which participants from the Great Lakes

- 42 shipping industry, U.S. Coast Guard, and science community worked together at a workshop to 43
- improve the forecast product. The workshop findings not only helped designing the forecast 44
- product, but also formed a message that such user participations should be more common in 45
- other general forecast products. 46
- 47

1 Introduction 48

As extreme weather events become more frequent with climate change, forecasts should 49 50 be easy for the public to use. A typical research-to-operation (R2O) process of numerical weather and coastal forecast models requires several years to complete, starting from research 51 and development (R&D) of a forecast model, its verification, formal skill assessment, 52 demonstration at the associated operational environment, and finally completing its transition to 53 operations to provide forecast guidance to public. Most R2O processes are still based on the 54 'push-pull' dynamics, where a R&D program responds to the requirements (pull) of the user 55 community and the operational system takes advantage of new results and technologies (push) as 56 a result of the R&D effort (Figure 1). There has been increasing recognition that such R2O 57 processes need systematic stakeholder engagements with structured methods supported by social 58 59 science (Aguilar-Barajas et al., 2019; Kruk et al., 2017). Engaging stakeholders from the early stage of R&D is particularly important not only because a R2O process is lengthy, but also to 60 minimize 'lost opportunities' (Figure 1). 61



62

Figure 1. Schematic of a transition pathway from research to operations and the "push-pull"

64 dynamic, adapted to an example of the Great Lakes short-term ice forecast from National

65 Research Council (2003). Bulleted items under a transition pathway are performed by adjacent

two entities. Lost opportunities would be reduced by sufficient engagement of three entities.

67

68 The upcoming lake ice forecast guidance for the North American Great Lakes (hereafter Great Lakes) presents an example of such R2O processes at the National Oceanic and 69 70 Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). In the Great Lakes, severe ice cover has direct 71 socioeconomic impacts on commercial shipping and navigation safety (Lake Carrieres' 72 Association 2019). As such, accurate forecast information of lake ice conditions would mitigate these impacts, through enabling shipping community to plan their operations effectively to 73 74 helping U.S. and Canadian Coast Guards with planning their icebreaking operations. However, an important condition for achieving this is that the forecast product provides a usable interface 75 with appropriate and accurate ice information for user decision-making. While there are several 76 existing resources of Great Lakes ice information (Table 1 in Fujisaki-Manome et al., 2019), the 77 capability of short-term forecast of Great Lakes ice conditions is missing. To fill this gap, the 78 development of an ice forecast model is underway to be added to the existing NOAA Great 79 Lakes Operational Forecast System (GLOFS, Anderson et al. 2018), which provides nowcast and 80 forecast guidance of lake conditions including lake surface temperature, currents, and water 81 levels out to 120 hours four times per day. The physical model is based on the Finite Volume 82 Community Ocean Model (FVCOM, Chen et al. 2006, 2013) and this model is coupled with the 83 unstructured grid version of the Los Alamos Sea Ice Model (UG-CICE, Gao et al. 2011). The 84 GLOFS-ice R2O transition will continue through 2022, and within this timeframe the short-term 85 ice forecast guidance will be implemented into GLOFS. To maximize the usability of the 86 upcoming ice forecast guidance, it is critical to understand what decisions stakeholders make 87 using ice information, what ice information stakeholders use to support that decision-making, 88 and what the stakeholder usability requirements are for a short-term Great Lakes ice forecast. 89 90 In this context, a stakeholder engagement project was initiated in January 2019, in

91 parallel with the GLOFS-ice R2O process. The main purpose was to prove a concept of

82 knowledge coproduction (Lemos & Morehouse, 2005) in GLOFS-ice by involving scientists,

- stakeholders, and operational forecast providers throughout the project, and by using social
- science methods. The main activity included a focus-group-like workshop with two targeted user
- 95 groups, members of the Lake Carriers' Association and U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) 9th District, as
- 96 well as a need-assessment survey. The major goals were to understand the current perception of
- 97 Great Lakes shipping community and USCG 9th District on Great Lakes ice information, and
- how the upcoming Great Lakes short-term ice forecast would provide the most useful
- 99 information for stakeholders' decision making. As the project output, all findings were used to
- 100 formulate recommendations on the user interface of the upcoming Great Lakes ice forecast
- 101 guidance, as well as on the future direction of the model development in GLOFS.

102

2 Great Lakes Ice Forecast Stakeholder engagement workshop 103

104 The workshop was held at the City Club of Cleveland, Ohio on July 11, 2019 with 27 participants. From the target user groups, 4 representatives from Lake Carriers' Association 105 (LCA) and 5 representatives from 9th District U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) were in attendance. 106 These groups were targeted, because they represent influential actors in the Great Lakes 107 navigation and shipping sectors. Additional participants included representatives from local 108 Weather Forecast Offices, National Ocean Service, National Ice Center, and the Great Lakes 109 110 Environmental Research Laboratory. The workshop started at noon with a social lunch, followed by a facilitated panel discussion with the two target stakeholders, a science presentation on Great 111 Lakes ice forecast model development (Figure 2), and a world cafe data collection activity 112 inviting all workshop participants. Questions posed to stakeholders during the facilitated 113 discussion were guided by a semi-structured interview guide. The world cafe activity is a group 114 note-taking exercise wherein participants are assigned to homogeneous groups and asked to 115 rotate to different memo-pad stations located around the room. At each memo pad, the group 116 worked together to write responses to the questions. At the conclusion of the activity, all 117 participants had worked with their group to answer every question posed at each station. Final 118 results were reported out to the group for discussion. This reiterative approach using facilitated 119 discussion and the world cafe activity allowed participants to generate, review, and affirm 120 answers posed to participants during the workshop. 121

With the informed consent of participants, workshop discussions were recorded, 122 123 transcribed, and coded using Conventional Content Analysis (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). Memowriting throughout the research process was used to support the intellectual rigor of data analysis 124 and identification of salient themes and variables (Charmaz, 2015). To support quality assurance 125 of workshop results, participants completed workshop evaluations, and key stakeholder advisers 126 from the LCA and USCG reviewed study results. The nine stakeholder participants from LCA 127 and USCG 9th District also participated in pre and post evaluation surveys to assess their 128 perceptions of the forecast model and the workshop itself. 129

The need-assessment survey was mainly designed to aid answering the three primary 130 questions with broader targeted stakeholders. The survey was implemented online using 131 Qualtrics software (Qualtrics, Provo, UT), sent out to the targeted user groups (LCA and 9th 132 District USCG) on 2 July 2019, and was closed on 12 September 2019. A total of 67 valid

133

surveys were collected 35 from LCA and 32 from USCG. 134

135



- Figure 2. Science presentation on the Great Lakes ice forecast model development during the
 workshop.
- 139

140 3 Key Findings

Throughout the workshop and survey administration, the following three major questions were addressed. Key findings for each question are highlighted below, while further details are summarized in Fujisaki-Manome et al. (2019) along with recommendations to the user interface of GLOFS-ice formulated based on the findings.

145 *Q1:* Which decisions do stakeholders make using ice information?

Participants indicated ice information is crucial for them to navigate during ice 146 conditions. Subsequently, icebreaking, emergency response, rescue missions, law enforcement 147 148 operations, and buoy retrieval were most frequently reported. Icebreaking operations are particularly important for vessels that operate during ice conditions, and are mainly conducted by 149 USCG 9th District, while there are some private icebreaking as well. All available mariners 150 participate in emergency response and rescue missions during ice conditions with the lead of the 151 USCG 9th District. Law enforcement operations and buoy retrieval are activities typically 152 conducted by the USCG 9th District. Buoy retrieval is conducted at the end of the shipping 153 season to protect aids to navigation and monitoring equipment from becoming entrapped in the 154 ice or otherwise damaged during winter conditions. 155

156

157 *Q2:* What ice information do stakeholders use to support that decision-making?

Timing of changes in ice conditions and ice movement were found to be the predominant parameters that the participants desire for their decision-making. The participants also reported 160 information gaps in the following areas: measures of information uncertainty, ice thickness,

161 location-specific information, ice type, and whether ice is fixed to shore. Ice pressure was also of 162 participants' interest, but many of them do not understand how to interpret this data.

It was also found that ice information requirements change throughout the season. During ice-on and ice-off, the prime information needs are for specific nearshore locations. During midseason, information needs are expanded to offshore areas in the lakes. Long-term (from 30-day to seasonal in this case) forecasts are useful for pre- and post-winter lay-up and fit-up planning. Short-term forecasts are needed mid-season when ships are navigating through ice. The participants desire metrics for information uncertainty given the high levels of risk involved with

169 their decision-making while navigating the Great Lakes.

170

171 *Q3:* What are stakeholder usability requirements for a short-term Great Lakes ice forecast?

To be effective, forecasts must provide information at the right geographic scale, time 172 scale, and frequency, and be reliable, accurate, and contain contextual information, such as 173 winds, wave, and surface air temperature. Current mismatch in geographic and time scales 174 between forecasts and user needs presented predominant challenges to using ice forecasts 175 effectively. Currently, the Daily Ice Briefings (daily conference calls hosted by USCG 9th 176 District) are the currently primarily source of the Great Lakes ice information for the winter 177 mariners, but for the upcoming short-term ice forecast product from GLOFS, near real-time 178 frequency is desired for ice information during winter navigation. Coordination with the existing 179 interface/products, such as the Daily Ice Briefings, is also important to obtain trust by the users. 180 As technical concern for forecast accessibility, the ship's limited bandwidth capacity for 181 accessing online forecast tools was raised. 182

183

A few opportunities were also identified for the future research. These includes incorporation of forecast uncertainty (e.g. probabilistic forecast), data assimilation approach, definition and evaluation of ice hardness/severity for icebreaking, and evaluation of risks to generate more ice as a result of icebreaking in extreme cold scenarios.

188

189 4 Concluding Remarks

In summary, the project demonstrated a 'knowledge co-production' in the R2O process 190 191 of the GLOFS short-term ice forecast at NOAA. The major outcome was that scientists, operational forecast providers, and stakeholders who were involved in this project expressed 192 193 favorable altitudes toward this effort and promoted opportunities to collaborate, placing a steppingstone to a new standard of a R2O process where stakeholder engagement with 194 participation of social scientists is formalized. This lines up well with the increasing recognition 195 of the importance of knowledge co-production in weather enterprise in general (Aguilar-Barajas 196 197 et al., 2019; Kruk et al., 2017), as well as at NOAA (NOAA Social Science Vison and Strategy, 2015). 198

The workshop activities and the survey with robust social science methods provided in-199 depth information on needs for the upcoming Great Lakes ice forecast guidance from the next 200 generation GLOFS, which inform the design of the user interface for ice forecast guidance, as 201 well as the direction of the future development of the ice model. While the feasibility of the 202 recommendations from this effort depends on actual resources at the operational environment at 203 NOAA, the new insights on stakeholder needs is critical for the operational forecast providers at 204 NOAA to determine priorities in designing the user interface, as well as for the model developers 205 to prioritize directions of modeling research (i.e. save 'lost opportunities'). Continuing 206 interactions among these entities is essential for a usable Great Lakes ice forecast product, and 207

- 208 therefore better decision-making.
- 209

210 Acknowledgments and Data

This work was funded by the Catalyst Grant of the Emergent Opportunities Program at 211 212 the University of Michigan's Graham Sustainability Institute from January 1, 2019 to August 31, 2019. The project team sincerely thank Mr. Thomas Rayburn at LCA and Mr. Jerome Popiel at 213 USCG 9th District for help with recruitment of workshop participants, workshop preparation, and 214 survey design. The project team also thank representatives from LCA and 9th District USCG for 215 participating in the workshop, sharing their experiences and thoughts on Great Lakes ice 216 information. We also thank representatives from local Weather Forecast Offices, National Ocean 217 Service, National Ice Center, and GLERL for their helpful advice on workshop preparation, as 218 well as their participation in the workshop as observers. We also thank Mr. Raymond Surya at 219 the University of Michigan for assisting with preparing experimental forecast graphics for the 220 workshop and survey. Finally, we thank the team at the Great Lakes Integrated Science + 221 Assessments (GLISA) for helping the logistics at the workshop. This is GLERL contribution 222 XXX and CIGLR contribution XXX. 223

223 747

225 **References**

- Aguilar-Barajas, I., Sisto, N. P., Ramirez, A. I., & Magaña-Rueda, V. (2019). Building urban
 resilience and knowledge co-production in the face of weather hazards: flash floods in the
 Monterrey Metropolitan Area (Mexico). *Environmental Science and Policy*, *99*(August
 2018), 37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2019.05.021
- Anderson, E. J., Fujisaki-Manome, A., Kessler, J., Lang, G. A., Chu, P. Y., Kelley, J. G. W., et
 al. (2018). Ice Forecasting in the Next-Generation Great Lakes Operational Forecast System
 (GLOFS). *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 6(123), 17 pages.
- 233 https://doi.org/10.3390/jmse6040123
- Association, L. C. (2019). Iced Out: Study Reveals Loss of More Than \$1 Billion Due to
 Inadequate Icebreaking Capabilities on the Great Lakes. Retrieved September 21, 2019,
- from http://www.lcaships.com/2019/08/01/iced-out-study-reveals-loss-of-more-than-1-
- 237 billion-due-to-inadequate-icebreaking-capabilities-on-the-great-lakes/
- Charmaz, K. (2015). Teaching theory construction with initial grounded theory tools: A
- reflection on lessons and learning. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25(12), 1610–1622.

- https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732315613982 240
- Chen, C., Beardsley, R. C., & Cowles, G. (2006). An Unstructured Grid, Finite-Volume Coastal 241 Ocean Model FVCOM User Manual. Oceanography, 19(1), 78-89. 242 https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2006.92 243
- Chen, C., Beardsley, R., Cowles, G., Qi, J., Lai, Z., Gao, G., et al. (2013). An unstructured grid, 244 Finite-Volume Coastal Ocean Model FVCOM -- User Manual. Tech. Rep.,
- 245
- SMAST/UMASSD-13-0701, Sch. for Mar. Sci. and Technol., Univ. of Mass. Dartmouth, 246
- New Bedford., 416 pp. 247
- Fujisaki-Manome, A., Gill, D., Anderson, E. J., Guo, T., & Lemos, M. C. (2019). Report on the 248 Great Lakes Ice Forecast Workshop. Ann Arbor, MI. URL: 249 http://graham.umich.edu/activity/43899 (To be uploaded upon finalization) 250
- Gao, G., Chen, C., Qi, J., & Beardsley, R. C. (2011). An unstructured-grid, finite-volume sea ice 251 model: Development, validation, and application. Journal of Geophysical Research: 252 Oceans, 116(9), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JC006688 253
- Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. 254 *Oualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277–1288. 255 https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732305276687 256
- Kruk, M. C., Parker, B., Marra, J. J., Werner, K., Heim, R., Vose, R., & Malsale, P. (2017). 257 Engaging with users of climate information and the coproduction of knowledge. Weather, 258 Climate, and Society, 9(4), 839–849. https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-16-0127.1 259
- Lemos, M. C., & Morehouse, B. J. (2005). The co-production of science and policy in integrated 260 climate assessments. Global Environmental Change, 15(1), 57-68. 261 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2004.09.004 262
- National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration. (2015). Vision and Strategy: Supporting NOAA's 263 Mission with Social Science. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511973673.033 264
- National Research Council. (2003). Satellite Observations of the Earth's Environment: 265
- Accelerating the Transition of Research to Operations. Washington, DC: The National 266 Academies Press. https://doi.org/10.17226/10658. 267