$\mu\text{-}\mathrm{CT}\text{-}\mathrm{Study}$ of CO2-Gas Exsolution caused by Hydrophobic Nucleation Sites

Bilal Zulfiqar¹ and Helmut Geistlinger¹

¹Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research UFZ Halle

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Background: Gas exsolution from supersaturated water injection (SWI) into porous media is of primary interest and has important applications (CCS, residual NAPL-remediation, CO2-enhanced oil recovery). Bubble formation and growth kinetics are typically studied in 2D-micro-models (sandstones-analoges; Zuo et al., 2013; Zuo and Benson, 2013). The only 3D-study was conducted by Li et al., 2017, using the NMR-method, and indicated a strong dependency of the exsolution process on the initial CO2-gas phase. However, the NMR-method was not able to attribute these different fluid-fluid-saturations to key parameters of the dissolution process such as gas cluster morphology and gas cluster size distribution. Methods: We conduct a series of column experiments to study the gas exsolution and gas cluster formation in 3D-porous media (natural sands, glass beads) using μ -CT. Based on this high-resolution non-invasive visualization method followed by image processing, we quantify (i) gas-cluster morphology, (ii) gas-cluster size distribution, (iii) correlation between pore structure and bubble formation, and (iv) the impact of surface roughness on exsolution efficiency. Results: We found that CO2-saturated water equilibrated under ambient pressure, pCO2 = 1.013 bar, (no supersaturation was measured and no pressure reduction was applied), already leads to gas exsolution of significant amount (about 10-12% gas saturation) in the presence of untreated SiO2-surfaces (natural sands, glass beads) which exhibit heterogeneous wettability. To the best of our knowledge this exsolution phenomenon was not observed before, and we assume it to be caused by fluid-rock interactions, i.e. by hydrophobic nucleation sites at the siliceous surface. The heterogeneous wettability has a dramatic impact on capillary trapping efficiency and was experimentally observed in Glass-beads monolayer (Geistlinger and Ataei, 2015, Influence of the heterogeneous wettability on capillary trapping in glass-beads monolayers: Comparison between experiments and the invasion percolation theory, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 459, 230 - 240).

HELMHOLTZ CENTRE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL **RESEARCH – UFZ**

Objectives



[CCS, residual NAPL-remediation, CO₂-enhanced oil recovery] (natural sand, glass beads, fine sand) a dramatic impact on **Capillary Trapping Efficiency** (0.17 mm): Key-parameter for GAS-cluster-dissolution: GAS-water-Interface Agw (see Fig.5 and Table 1) Gas-water interface A_{gw} is about 60% of the total gas surface A_{g} **Results & Discussions: Gas Exsolution** Rough REV Surfaces scale (1*mm*sand) Non-wetting fluid Wetting fluid Why? Porous media (NS) CO₂ Saturated water REV Smooth scale Surfaces (1mm-GBS) Non-wetting fluid Why? Wetting fluid Porous media (GBS) CO₂ Saturated water

Surface charge

μ -CT Study of CO₂-Gas Exsolution caused by Rough Surfaces Bilal Zulfiqar¹, Steffen Schlüter¹, Helmut Geistlinger¹

¹: Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research -- UFZ, Department of Soil System Science, Leipzig, Germany











H33T-2356

1					
nd	Exp	Porosity	Gas	$\mathbf{A_g}$	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{w}}$
515x - 0.339 0.9811 y = 0.0363x - 0.326 R ² = 0.9801		[-]	Content [-]	(mm ²)	(mm ²)
	1	0.377	0.160	2765	1568
	2	0.380	0.182	3597	1957
	3	0.392	0.190	3877	2008
	4	0.390	0.205	4140	2530
8 20 22 24 aration [%]	5	0.385	0.235	5208	3185
	Exp	Porosity	Gas	$\mathbf{A_g}$	$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{w}}$
	Exp	Porosity [-]	Gas Content [-]	A _g (mm ²)	A _{g,w} (mm ²)
76x - 0.1069 0.9961	Exp 1	Porosity [-] 0.373	Gas Content [-] 0.120	A _g (mm ²) 2078	A _{g,w} (mm ²) 1238
76x - 0.1069 0.9961	Exp 1 2	Porosity [-] 0.373 0.370	Gas Content [-] 0.120 0.157	A _g (mm ²) 2078 2886	A _{g,w} (mm ²) 1238 1757
76x - 0.1069 0.9961 g,w y = 0.0191x - 0.0191	Exp 1 2 3	Porosity [-] 0.373 0.370 0.371	Gas Content [-] 0.120 0.157 0.177	A _g (mm ²) 2078 2886 3376	A _{g,w} (mm ²) 1238 1757 1925
76x - 0.1069 0.9961 g,w y = 0.0191x - 0.0191 $R^2 = 0.9887$	Exp 1 2 3 4	Porosity [-] 0.373 0.370 0.371 0.387	Gas Content [-] 0.120 0.157 0.177 0.181	Ag (mm²) 2078 2886 3376 3405	Ag,w (mm²) 1238 1757 1925 1922
$ \begin{array}{c} 76x - 0.1069 \\ 0.9961 \\ g,w \\ g,w \\ y = 0.0191x - 0.0191 \\ R^2 = 0.9887 \\ \hline 4 \\ 16 \\ 18 \\ 20 \\ \hline 5aturation [\%] \end{array} $	Exp 1 1 2 3 4 5	Porosity [-] 0.373 0.370 0.371 0.387 0.374	Gas Content [-] 0.120 0.157 0.177 0.181 0.181	Ag (mm²) 2078 2886 3376 3405 3584	Ag,w (mm²) 1238 1757 1925 1922 2015